DESTRUCTION, DISINVESTMENT, AND DEATH: ECONOMIC AND HUMAN LOSSES

Following Environmental Disaster^{*}

Jesse K. Anttila-Hughes[†] Solomon M. Hsiang

Abstract

The immediate physical damages caused by environmental disasters are conspicuous and often the focus of media and government attention. In contrast, the nature and magnitude of post-disaster losses remain largely unknown because they are not easily observable. Here we exploit annual variation in the incidence of typhoons (West-Pacific hurricanes) to identify post-disaster losses within Filipino households. We find that unearned income and excess infant mortality in the year after typhoon exposure outnumber immediate damages and death tolls roughly 15-to-1. Typhoons destroy durable assets and depress incomes, leading to broad expenditure reductions achieved in part through disinvestments in health and human capital. Infant mortality mirrors these economic responses, and additional findings – that only female infants are at risk, that sibling competition elevates risk, and that infants conceived after a typhoon are also at risk - indicate that this excess mortality results from household decisions made while coping with post-disaster economic conditions. We estimate that these posttyphoon "economic deaths" constitute 13% of the overall infant mortality rate in the Philippines. Taken together, these results indicate that economic and human losses due to environmental disaster may be an order of magnitude larger than previously thought and that adaptive decision-making may amplify, rather than dampen, disasters' social cost. JEL Codes: J13, O12, Q54, Q56.

^{*}We thank Douglas Almond, Chris Barrett, Scott Barrett, Marshall Burke, Mark Cane, Janet Currie, Tatyana Deryugina, Ram Fishman, Joshua Graff Zivin, Michael Hanemann, Rema Hanna, Kyle Meng, Gordon McCord, John Mutter, Suresh Naidu, Daiju Narita, Nicole Ngo, Michael Oppenheimer, Cristian Pop-Eleches, Jeffrey Sachs, Bernard Salanić, Nicholas Sanders, Wolfram Schlenker, Kerry Smith, Reed Walker and seminar participants at Columbia, Cornell, Harvard, Princeton, UC Berkeley, UC San Diego, University of San Francisco, the NBER Summer Institute, the NBER HC/EEE Fall Meeting, the Advanced Graduate Workshop on Poverty, Development and Globalization and the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting for helpful comments and suggestions. We thank Vincent Olaivar of the National Statistics Office of the Philippines for assistance obtaining data. This work is funded in part by a grant from the Center for International Business Education and Research; J.K.A.H. was funded by an IGERT grant from the National Science Foundation, S.M.H. was funded by STAR Research Grant (FP-916932) from the US Environmental Protection Agency, and Postdoctoral Fellowships in Applied Econometrics (NBER) and Science, Technology & Environmental Policy (Princeton). This version: February 18, 2013.

[†]Corresponding author: 2130 Fulton St., Department of Economics, Cowell 407, San Francisco, CA 94117. Phone: (415) 422-6453. Fax: (415) 422-6983. Email:jkanttilahughes@usfca.edu

1 Introduction

It is obvious that natural disasters cause immediate destruction and death. In theory, documenting the direct physical damages caused by hurricanes, earthquakes, and other catastrophes is straightforward, although the logisitics of doing so are often difficult. At the same time, even our theoretical understanding of disasters' aftereffects, particularly on economic outcomes, remains limited by a paucity of empirical observations. The few facts we have about post-disaster economics come primarily from studies that link macroeconomic data with country-level estimates of disaster impacts (see Strömberg (2007) and Cavallo and Noy (2009) for reviews of the literature). Thus even fairly basic questions about disasters' economic effects, such as whether household incomes rise or fall in a disaster's wake, remain unsettled (Albala-Bertrand (1993); Benson and Clay (2004); Caselli and Malhotra (2004); Hallegatte and Ghil (2008); Horchrainer (2009); Loayza et al. (2009); Dercon and Outes (2009); Noy (2009); Fomby, Ikeda and Loayza (2009); Hsiang (2010); Strobl (2011); Deryugina (2011)).

Improving our understanding of post-disaster economic outcomes is important for several reasons. Designing effective disaster management policies and institutions requires that we understand the full cost of disasters (Kunreuther et al. (2009); United Nations (2009)); if a sizeable portion of a disaster's costs manifest after the event itself, then models of humanitarian intervention which focus on immediate damages may need to be reassessed or expanded. Secondly, the wealth of evidence suggesting that disasters' immediate death and destruction is most acute in low-income countries (Kahn (2005), Mutter (2005), Yang (2008), Hsiang and Narita (2012)) indicates that disasters might plausibly influence economic development. Of particular concern is disasters' potential to alter long-run outcomes due to short-run losses: if poor households have a limited ability to mitigate disaster-induced losses, disaster incidence may cause them to sacrifice valuable investment (Udry (1994); Jacoby and Skoufias (1997); Duflo (2000); Maccini and Yang (2009); Banerjee and Mullainathan (2010)) for short-run needs. Lastly, recent evidence suggests that global climate change is expected to increase the frequency of certain types of environmental disaster, (IPCC 2007; Knutson et al. (2010)). This implies that any improvement in estimates of disasters' costs will necessarily inform estimates of climate change's anticipated damages (Narita, Tol and Anthoff (2009); Mendelsohn, Emanuel and Chonobayashi (2012)), and in turn the formulation of climate change policy in general (Stern (2006); Nordhaus (2008); Tol (2009); Weitzman (2009); Pindyck (2011)).

In this paper we measure the post-disaster economic and health effects of a specific type of environmental disaster: typhoons. Typhoons are tropical cyclones in the West Pacific region¹ – large, fast-moving storms which form over the oceans and cause physical damage via intense winds, heavy rainfall, and ocean surges. We focus on typhoons both because they are one of the most common and costly types of natural disaster (Bevere, Rogers and Grollimund (2011)) and because their variation in timing and spatial distribution allow us to identify their effects using quasi-experimental techniques (Holland (1986)). Typhoons are relatively brief, usually affecting a given location for at most 1- 2 days. They are also sharply defined in space, with a core 100-200 kilometers across and traveling distances ranging from a few hundred to a few thousand kilometers in length. The intensity of a location's typhoon exposure is also variable, both because the storms themselves vary in frequency and intensity and because different locations are exposed to different parts of the same storm, another feature that we exploit in our econometric analysis.

The Philippines is situated in one of the most intense typhoon climatologies on the planet, a fact that both improves our identification strategy and differentiates this study from analyses of one-off or infrequent natural disasters. To capture spatial and tempo-

¹ "Typhoon" is the name for a tropical cyclone that occurs in the western Pacific Ocean. The same storms are called "hurricanes" in the Atlantic Ocean and simply "cyclones" in the Indian Ocean.

ral variations in typhoon exposure within the Philippines we use a physical model of typhoon winds developed in Hsiang (2010) to create a unique panel dataset of provincelevel incidence. This dataset allows us to adopt a difference-in-differences approach which takes advantage of each province's year-to-year variation in typhoon exposure.

We combine physical storm data with two household survey files: the Family Income and Expenditure Survey (FIES), a repeated cross sectional survey of household economic outcomes conducted by the Filipino government every three years; and the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), a suite of cross sectional household-level health and fertility surveys. The FIES data allow us to identify the impact of storms on households' physical assets, income, and consumption², while the DHS's retrospective data on mothers' fertility allow us to reconstruct a mother-by-year panel dataset of infant births and mortality. Infant mortality constitutes a sensitive³ measure of health itself as well as an indicator of general household well being. When linked together, these three datasets allow us to characterize the multidimensional response of households to typhoons.

We begin by demonstrating that our empirical model indeed captures typhoons' direct destructive impact. We verify that our measure of typhoon exposure, spatially-weighted maximum typhoon wind speed (henceforth "wind speed"), is a good predictor of damages and deaths at the national level. We demonstrate that these nationally-aggregated losses are also apparent at the household-level in the form of lost capital assets, such as televisions, toilets, and walls.

Turning next to household income, we find that typhoons reduce average income the year after they strike, presumably due to storms' direct physical damages as well

 $^{^{2}}$ We note that expenditures alone do not infer quantity of consumption in the absence of prices, and thus perform a variety of checks on storms' impact on prices, which we find to be negligible; see Section 5 for details.

 $^{^{3}}$ As Chay and Greenstone (2003) point out, infant mortality minimizes problems of cumulative exposure and a host of other potentially confounding identification concerns that emerge when examining other human capital measures.

as their more indirect disruption of economic activity. We find that household income drops linearly by 0.39% per meter per second of wind speed exposure. Given the average annual exposure at the province level of 16.9 m/s during our sample period, we estimate that the average short-run effect of the country's typhoon climate is to depress incomes by 6.6%. This effect occurs across a variety of income sources and subsamples, affects both richer and poorer households, and is net of public and private transfers. It is also large compared to estimates of immediate damages – the average annual value of immediate national aggregate typhoon damage is 0.4% of GDP, only one-seventeenth as large. This suggests that for the average household, roughly 94% of the economic loss to a disaster accrues as unearned income long after the destructive event has ceased, in disagreement with the hypothesis that immediate damages adequately summarize the full economic cost of these disasters (Mendelsohn, Emanuel and Chonobayashi (2012)).

The income losses we measure translate nearly one-for-one into a reduction of household expenditures, which decrease 7.1% for the average household in the average year. These expenditure reductions track total income losses closely when they are examined across years (relative to the storm), across space, across typhoon intensities, across income groups and across subsamples. This tight relationship suggests that households do not mitigate storm-induced losses via consumption-smoothing strategies, such as in-kind transfers, savings, or borrowing. Instead, we observe that households make large adjustments to their *relative* spending on different types of consumption and investment. In general, households reduce their spending the most on expenditures that most closely resemble human capital investments, such as medicine, education and high nutrient foods that include meat, dairy, eggs and fruit. In contrast, expenditures decline much less on pure consumption goods, such as recreation, alcohol and tobacco.

We next examine whether typhoons impact household health outcomes by examining infant mortality rates. We find no evidence that infant mortality rises during or immediately following typhoon exposure, implying that deaths from physical exposure to the storm itself, which we term "exposure deaths", are few. However, we find that typhoons cause infant mortality to rise the calendar year *after* the storm itself has passed. The vast majority of infant female deaths manifest well after the typhoon event; moreover, many of the infants who die in the aftermath of the storm were not even conceived until after the storms are gone, implying that the direct mortality impact of the storm is minimal. We estimate that in our sample of mothers who never migrate, these typhoon-associated deaths amount to an annual average of 1,130 female infant deaths per million households, corresponding to 55% of the baseline infant female mortality rate in this non-migrant sample.

Multiple aspects of our findings suggest that deteriorating economic conditions and disinvestments in human capital are responsible for these female infant deaths. We "fingerprint" patterns of economic contraction and disinvestment across many dimensions by looking at their timing relative to storms, their nonlinear response to storm intensity, their short and medium-run lag structure at different locations in the income distribution, their structure across subsamples and their spatial patterns. We then demonstrate that patterns of female infant mortality exhibit an almost identical fingerprint across these same dimensions. Furthermore, we find that mortality is highest in households where infant daughters face competition from other children over resources, particularly if those siblings are male. These findings together suggest that female infant deaths following typhoons are "economic deaths" resulting from economic losses and the resulting household decisions regarding human capital investments and within-household resource allocation⁴. Extrapolating these estimates to the entire non-migrant population suggests that approximately 11,300 female infants suffer post-typhoon "economic

⁴This conclusion that female infants bear a differentially large share of the burden from income loss is consistent with findings from a variety of other contexts (Rosenzweig and Schultz (1982); Rose (1999); Duflo (2000); Duflo (2005); Bhalotra (2010)).

deaths" in the Philippines every year, constituting roughly 13% of the overall infant mortality rate in the Philippines. In contrast, there was an average of 743 "exposure deaths" per year (across all ages groups) according to official reports for the same period (OFDA/CRED 2009). This suggests that the mortality resulting from economic behavior in reaction to typhoons is roughly 15 times as large as the immediate mortality caused by direct exposure to these storms.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents background on typhoons and household adjustments to income loss. Section 3 presents the data and Section 4 presents our quasi-experimental design. Section 5 presents our results. We conclude in Section 6, which discusses our findings and some of their implications for policy.

2 Background

The Typhoon Climate of the Philippines

Figure I shows a map of the Philippines' annual expected typhoon exposure, or its typhoon climate. The Philippines possesses one of the most active cyclone climatologies in the world, on average experiencing over ten typhoons each year ranging in intensity from mild to severe. Because the Philippines is large compared to typhoons, different regions within the country may experience entirely different levels of storm exposure in the same year.

The Philippines' active typhoon climate provides additional benefits for analysis compared to other idiosyncratic destructive events such as earthquakes⁵ or wars, in

⁵Note that while earthquakes certainly have a spatial and temporal incidence structure similar to typhoons the interarrival time of destructive earthquakes is orders of magnitude longer than for destructive typhoons. See, for example, Triep and Sykes (1997).

that typhoons in the Philippines are a regular and expected occurrence, with median exposure to typhoon-strength winds above zero for all but a handful of provinces. While destructive and unpredictable, typhoon exposure itself is thus not surprising, and households almost certainly incorporate typhoon risk into their economic decisions. We can thus plausibly infer that any impacts that we observe occur in spite of all the adaptive responses that households employ to mitigate typhoon impacts.

Our estimate of typhoons' costs adds to the rapidly growing literature on the natural environment's impact on health outcomes (Deschênes and Moretti (2009); Maccini and Yang (2009); Deschênes and Greenstone (2011)), as well as the literature on the economic and health impacts of disasters (Toya and Skidmore (2007); Strömberg (2007); Cavallo and Noy (2009); Simeonova (2011); Hsiang (2010); Deryugina (2011)) as well as the more general literature exploring climatic influence on economic outcomes (Gallup, Sachs and Mellinger (1999); Acemoglu, Johnson and Robinson (2002); Bloom, Canning and Sevilla (2003); Easterly and Levine (2003); Miguel, Satyanath, and Sergenti (2004); Nordhaus (2006b); Schlenker and Roberts (2009); Dell, Jones, and Olken (2009); Hsiang (2010); Graff Zivin and Neidell (2010)). It also augments the literature on the economic consequences of physically destructive shocks (Davis and Weinstein (2002); Vigdor (2008); Miguel and Roland (2011)) though it differs from much of that literature in that typhoons, rather than being idiosyncratic events like bombings, are a persistent and common state of the climate.

Household Adjustments to Income Loss

Reductions in household income have the obvious potential to cause deleterious effects: consumption of goods and services, investments in health and education, and savings for future use are all potential margins of adjustment which may suffer following income loss. There are a variety of means by which households seek to minimize these costs. Firstly, households may attempt to smooth their income or consumption over time, thereby spreading costs out and attenuating the immediate impact of income loss. This smoothing can come in the form of within-household adjustments such as accumulating precautionary savings (Paxson (1992); Kazarosian (1997)), directly supplanting income through adaptive labor market activity (Kochar (1995); Jacoby and Skoufias (1998); Kochar (1999)), or selling assets during times of duress (Rosenzweig and Wolpin (1993). It may also come in the form of extra-household adjustments such as accessing credit markets (Rosenzweig(1988); Cochrane (1991); Morduch (1995)) or relying on transfers (Foster and Rosenzweig (2001); Fafchamps and Lund (2003); Yang and Choi (2007)). It is important to note that the income and consumption smoothing literature differentiates between relatively easily insured-against idiosyncratic shocks (i.e., income losses affecting different households at different times) and less easily mitigated aggregate shocks affecting many houses (Cochrane (1991); Townsend (1995)). One might thus expect that income losses due to typhoons, which are particularly large and common aggregate shocks, might be particularly difficult to smooth over time.

If income losses cannot be smoothed then households may adjust by altering their expenditure patterns. This adjustment may manifest in altered consumption, e.g., via changes in eating habits (Subramanian and Deaton (1996); Jensen and Miller (2008)), or it may manifest as a reduction in investments, such as to human capital (Mincer (1958); Jensen (2000); Banerjee and Mullainathan (2010))⁶. If losses to income result in disinvestment in human capital, particularly among children, then the potential costs of a shock may far exceed its immediately observable effects in the long run via worsened later-life outcomes (Strauss and Thomas (1998); Maccini and Yang (2009); Banerjee et al. (2010)). Moreover these losses may become compounded if households

⁶Note that in many instances consumption and investment cannot be disentangled; expenditures on nutritious food, for example, can be equally viewed as consumption as well as investment in future human capital.

differentially disinvest in children by type, for example due to gender biases (Sen (1990); Duflo (2005)).

This paper expands upon the literature documenting household disinvestments in children's human capital following income loss (Jacoby and Skoufias (1998); Strauss and Thomas (1998); Jensen (2000)), particularly disinvestments in girls' human capital (Rose (1999); Bhalotra and Heady (2003); Maccini and Yang (2009); Chen (2011)). More broadly, this paper adds to the growing body of research documenting the excess risk burden borne by female household members in developing contexts (Horton (1986); Sen (1990); Duflo (2005); Qian (2008); Robinson and Yeh (2011)).

3 Data

Our analysis requires data describing household assets, income, expenditures, health outcomes, and typhoon exposure. Summary statistics of these data are presented in Tables I, II, and III. For reference, Supplementary Figure A3 displays an administrative map of the 82 provinces (smaller units) and 17 regions (larger units) we include in our data.

Typhoon data

A central innovation of our analysis is the development of a comprehensive data file describing a physical measure of typhoon incidence over time. We develop this measure to ensure that our typhoon data are sufficiently precise to describe meaningful variations in typhoon exposure in a climate where typhoons are common. We begin by reconstructing the wind field for every West Pacific cyclone in the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS) database (Knapp (2009)) using the Limited Information Cyclone Reconstruction and Integration for Climate and Economics (LICRICE) model (see Hsiang (2010) for a detailed description of the model⁷). LICRICE only reconstructs wind fields and does not explicitly account for rains, flooding, or storm surges because wind fields are less influenced by topography and are thus more generalizable. However, our wind field measures describes these other typhoon impacts to the extent that they are correlated with wind speed.

We use LICRICE to reconstruct the wind field as a translating vortex for all 2,246 storms recorded in the West Pacific Basin between 1950-2008 by interpolating among 72,901 6-hour observations over every $1/34^{\circ} \times 1/34^{\circ}$ pixel of the Philippines ($1/34^{\circ} \approx 0.0294^{\circ} \approx 2.02$ miles ≈ 3.26 kilometers). Figure II (left panel) illustrates a snapshot of a storm's wind field for an example storm, with the height of the surface depicting the speed of the surface winds. The right panels in Figure II show how LICRICE combines this kernel with data on storm trajectories and intensities to reconstruct annual exposure levels during four example years from our sample. Using this approach, we find that 837 storms affected the Philippines⁸ between 1950-2008 (13.72 storms per year). Of these storms, 411 occurred during 1979-2008 (13.70 storms per year), the period for which we have overlapping economic and health data. For reference, annual maps of raw LICRICE output for the period 1979-2008 are presented in Supplementary Figures A1 and A2.

To match typhoon exposure with annualized socioeconomic data files, our continuous physical measure of typhoons must be summarized to form a single observation for each location in every year. We summarize annual typhoon exposure for provinces and regions by computing the maximum wind speed achieved at each pixel and then taking the average across pixels within an administrative unit. We opt for this measure because it allows us to capture storm intensity while controlling for variations in

⁷Since Hsiang (2010), version 2 of LICRICE was built (used in this study), substantially improving upon the model's original accuracy. However these improvements were focused on numerical methods and the heuristic description in Hsiang (2010) remains accurate.

⁸That is, 837 storms registered non-zero wind speeds over at least one $1/34^{\circ} \times 1/34^{\circ}$ pixel.

the physical size of regions and provinces⁹; a storm that passes over the entirety of a geographic area would thus register as stronger treatment than one that merely passed over a small portion of it. For succinctness, we refer to this statistic as 'wind speed' and it is presented in the units of meters per second $(1 \text{ m/s} = 3.6 \text{ km per hour} \approx 2.24 \text{ miles per hour})^{10}$.

Figure III displays medians, inter-quartile ranges and extreme values of typhoon exposure for each of the 82 provinces in our sample during 1950-2008. The figure illustrates that there is strong variation in typhoon exposure between provinces as well as strong year-to-year variations in exposure at the level of an individual province. Note that no province completely escapes typhoon exposure in the period of observation and there are many provinces that are exposed to typhoons every year. Approximately half of the provinces have median annual exposures in excess of 20 m/s and many provinces are exposed to events exceeding 50 m/s. As shown in Table I, the average province was exposed to wind speeds of 17.6 m/s (s.d. = 12.0 m/s) between 1950 and 2008, or 16.9 m/s (s.d. = 11.6 m/s) between 1979 and 2008.

⁹Hsiang and Narita (2012) discuss the variety of tropical cyclone measures that have been employed in previous econometric studies, such as windspeed at landfall, minimum central pressure and total energy dissipated. As Hsiang and Narita demonstrate, the spatially-weighted maximum wind speed measure that is employed in this study is well-supported by theory and outperforms alternative measures in a country-by-year panel analysis. Briefly, the theoretical basis for this measure rests on two observations. First, the stress-strain relationship for most materials is highly non-linear, with catastrophic failure occurring at a critical level of stress (Nordhaus (2006a)). Thus, for a given material, only the maximum level of stress that the material is exposed to, i.e. the maximum wind speed, is relevant for determining whether failure is expected. Secondly, people and capital are distributed across space within a province, making it necessary to construct some sort of spatial average for wind exposure. We follow Hsiang (2010) and Hsiang and Narita (2012) and adopt area-weights for our averages because they cannot be endogenous in the same ways that population, capital or income weights might be. For further discussion see the Supporting Online Material.

¹⁰It is important to note that because reported wind speed values are area-averages, actual wind speeds at the center of storms are substantially greater than the values we report and cannot be directly compared.

Household Asset, Income and Expenditure Data

Information on household assets, income and consumption are obtained from the crosssectional Family Income and Expenditure Survey (FIES) conducted by the National Statistics Office (NSO) of the Philippines (Ericta and Fabian (2009)). In 1957, the government of the Philippines began conducting the FIES irregularly (approximately every five years) to understand the distribution of income, spending patterns and the prevalence of poverty, as well as to benchmark consumer price indices. In 1985, the survey was completely restructured and the NSO began conducting it at regular three year intervals. In this analysis, we obtain and use FIES Public Use Files for the years 1985, 1988, 1991, 1994, 1997, 2000, 2003 and 2006.

The FIES provide us with data on each household's assets across several different categories, household income by source, total income *net* of any transfers and subsidies, and household expenditures on different goods and services.

We note that there are important timing issues to contend with in analyzing the FIES data that arise from the manner in which the survey is administered. FIES data are collected twice for each household, just after the middle of the year (July) and just following the end of the year (the following January), with responses for each survey reflecting economic behaviors over the preceding six months. Responses for each household are then averaged between the two surveys to construct annual estimates; however, if a household cannot be found in either round of the survey they are dropped from the sample¹¹. Figure IV shows the FIES survey timeline overlaid with mean monthly typhoon strikes to indicate why this is a potential concern. Typhoon activity in the Philippines is concentrated late in the year, so estimates of typhoon impacts during the year of exposure may be somewhat attenuated because first phase responses

¹¹Surveyors attempt to revisit households two additional times if the household head cannot be located in the first visit. Only after three unsuccessful interview attempts is a household dropped.

are recorded prior to the bulk of typhoon events. This motivates us to focus on capital losses the year following typhoon exposure, since it seems unlikely that capital can be replaced immediately following a storm¹².

Also concerning is the NSO's policy of dropping second round non-respondents, since typhoons may cause households to migrate, the obliteration of participants' physical homes, or villages becoming inaccessible due to flooding or infrastructure damage¹³. This results in observations being dropped from our sample based on extreme values of the treatment effect we are interested in, a fact that probably biases our estimate of treatment effects towards zero. We attempt to minimize this attenuation by including a vector of observable household covariates in all our models. In addition, we explicitly test for balance on treatment in Section 4.

Lastly, we note that the lowest unit of geographic designation in the FIES surveys changed between the 2000 and 2003 waves; early years include province level identifiers (more detailed) while later years only report regional identifiers (less detailed). Thus, our baseline models analyze outcomes at the province level but omit 2003 and 2006. We then reintroduce these years in region level estimates as a check on our main results. For province level models we are able to match 142,789 household observations contained in the period 1985-2000, whereas our sample expands to 174,896 observations in regional level models that span the period 1985-2006.

 $^{^{12}}$ We note that a typhoon's contemporaneous effect on capital is roughly 53% of its effect in the following year. This is consistent with our concern that contemporaneous effects will be smaller because phase 1 responses occur before roughly 65% of storm events.

¹³The NSO explicitly states that a major cause of second phase survey attrition is the inability to locate households when the physical structure they inhabited during the first phase interview is destroyed by a typhoon before the second phase. When areas become inaccessible due to flooding or infrastructure damage, the NSO generally tries to postpone surveys within the affected region. Unfortunately, the NSO does not provide statistics on these types of attrition.

Infant Mortality Data

Our infant mortality data are taken from the 1993, 1998, 2003, and 2008 waves of the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS)¹⁴ for the Philippines. The DHS are cross-sectional surveys with questions related to population, health, and nutrition, particularly pertaining to maternal and child health. The DHS program is highly standardized with changes between surveys documented and propagated, allowing for comparison of surveys both across countries and within countries across time. Samples are designed to be representative at the national and regional levels. Within the Philippines, each household's location is identified according to its administrative region and provincial identifiers are not available.

The primary interview targets of the DHS are women between the ages of 15 and 49. A wide suite of questions are asked on topics ranging from HIV awareness to nutritional practices to each woman's full fertility history. The latter provides us with a source of our infant mortality data, as each woman is asked to provide detailed information about every child she has ever born, including any children who have died. We are thus able to construct a time series for each woman's fertility and mortality events over the duration of her life up until the survey, echoing recent research that uses the DHS in a similar way (Kudamatsu (2011); Kudamatsu, Persson, and Strömberg (2011); Chakravarty (2011)). We follow these authors in excluding migrant mothers from our sample, thereby minimizing the sorting and migration concerns that arise in the FIES. The 24,841 non-migrant mothers in our sample yield 265,430 mother-by-year observations, or nearly 11 years of longitudinal data per woman. Table III shows summary statistics.

¹⁴The DHS are administered by the Measure DHS project (funded largely by USAID) and are available for free download online at http://www.measuredhs.com/. Started in 1984, the DHS program has collected survey data on 84 countries as of late 2011, with many of those countries having been subject to multiple survey waves.

The DHS data include several variables aside from infant mortality events which are particularly useful for this analysis. Of particular note are: a measure of each woman's prior migration history, captured by whether she has ever lived anywhere else and, if so, when she moved to her current location of residence; educational attainment of both the woman and her husband, if any; the geographical region in which the woman resides; and the woman's age at time of survey. While there is no direct questioning on each woman's or household's income, a variety of socioeconomic status (SES) indicators are collected, ranging from whether the household has electricity to whether anyone in the househole owns a car. We construct a proxy for socioeconomic status from these data for comparison of distributional impacts in a process outlined in the Supplemental data.

Other Data

Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) Nationally aggregated data on economic losses and deaths from tropical cyclones are obtained from the Emergency Events Database data file commonly referred to as "EM-DAT" (OFDA/CRED 2009). The EM-DAT data file contains information provided by national governments, international organizations, NGOs, and private companies (e.g., re-insurance companies) on a self-reporting basis¹⁵. EM-DAT data of economic losses are an estimate of negative economic impacts that may include lost consumption goods, lost productive capital or cost of business interruption, depending on the protocols of the reporting institution. EM-DAT is the database used in most previous cross-country studies of post-disaster economics, with some of its limitations discussed in the review by Cavallo and Noy (2009).

¹⁵EM-DAT is provided for free by the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED) at www.emdat.be, Universite Catholique de Louvain, Belgium.

Temperature and Rainfall We control for mean annual temperature and rainfall in all of our analyses to minimize potentially confounding climate behaviors that might be correlated with typhoon incidence (Auffhammer et al. 2010). Temperature observations are extracted from the gridded reanalysis of the Climate Data Assimilation System I (CDAS1) produced by the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) (Kalnay et al. (1996). Rainfall estimates are obtained from the Climate Prediction Center (CPC) Merged Analysis of Precipitation (CMAP) which merges station readings on the ground with available satellite data (Xie and Arkin 1996). Both temperature and precipitation data are spatially averaged over each region or province.

Crop Prices Province-level data on annual commodity retail prices are obtained from the Bureau of Agriculture in the Philippines¹⁶. Data are available for the period 1985-2008.

4 Identification

To empirically identify the impact of typhoons on household outcomes we use a differencein-differences approach that exploits random variations in each location's typhoon incidence. Identifying the treatment effect of typhoons requires that we must only utilize variations in typhoon exposure that are randomly assigned to households (Holland (1986); Freedman (1991)). Because the formation of typhoons and their trajectories have strong spatial patterns, some locations have relatively higher or lower levels of average typhoon exposure (recall Figure I). However, these cross-sectional variations in mean exposure might be correlated with cross-sectional differences in the unobservable characteristics of different locations, for example culture. For this reason, we do not

¹⁶Details and data are available at http://countrystat.bas.gov.ph

utilize the cross-sectional variation in average exposure and instead rely only on random year-to-year variations in exposure at each specific location. To achieve this, we include province (or region) fixed-effects in all of our regressions to absorb any cross-sectional variation in typhoons exposure or losses. If there are unobservable reasons why some locations have higher (or lower) incomes or infant mortality on average, these fixedeffects will non-parametrically account for this difference and it will not contaminate our estimates of the typhoon treatment effect (Greene (2003)).

Randomness in typhoon exposure arises because both the location and timing of storm formation as well as storm trajectories themselves are stochastic. One might be concerned that annual variations in storm exposure might not be entirely random because households could make location choices based on seasonal typhoon forecasts. Yet, while it is now possible to predict average storm frequencies for each storm season in a given basin with moderate skill (Heming and Goerss (2010); Smith et al. (2010)), these forecasts have almost no predictive power if one were to try forecasting *location*specific seasonal risk. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that annually varying risk differentials are imperceptible for individuals on the ground, since these differentials still cannot be predicted by scientists. In contrast to seasonal prediction, it is possible to forecast typhoon exposure a few days before a storm strikes¹⁷ (Heming and Goerss (2010)), a fact that often allows individuals to evacuate and protect some of their assets. This is important for interpreting our results, because the treatment effect that we estimate is the effect of typhoons after households have employed the full range of adaptive behaviors available to them, such as evacuation. But it does not seem plausible that short-term evacuations based on short-term forecasts lead to the reorganization of populations on annual time-scales, so it is unlikely that forecast-based sorting affects

¹⁷For example, Willoughby et al. (2007) note: "In the past, a forecast was considered successful if it predicted the hurricanes position and intensity 12 - 72 h into the future. By the 1990s, forecast users came to expect more specific details such as spatial distributions of rainfall, winds, flooding, and high seas. In the early 21st century, forecasters extended their time horizons to 120 h."

our annualized estimates.

We wish to avoid spurious correlations, so we must avoid correlating trends in typhoon incidence and our outcomes of interest. To do this, we flexibly account for common trend behaviors by including year fixed-effects in all of our models (Greene (2003)). These fixed effects also account for any unobservable common climatic shocks, such as the El-Niño-Southern Oscillation, which could be correlated with typhoon exposure (Camargo and Sobel (2005)) as well as socio-economic outcomes (Hsiang, Meng and Cane (2011)).

The primary threat to the validity of our study is the potential for household sorting in the wake of typhoon exposure. As we explained, sorting due to typhoon *risk* should not be a major concern since we include location fixed-effects and annual changes in risk are imperceptible to households. Sorting on typhoon *incidence*, however, could be problematic if the passage of a storm causes families to migrate away for long periods, altering the household composition of different locations. This is of particular concern for the FIES data given their survey methodology (discussed in Section 3).

To address this concern, we test for balance in the FIES data by regressing observable household characteristics on typhoon exposure, presenting results in Table IV. This approach checks whether observable household characteristics vary with the intensity of the previous years' typhoon exposure. We allow household composition to vary nonlinearly in response to typhoon exposure by including indicator variables for prior year's maximum wind speed¹⁸. In support of our approach, we find almost no evidence of sorting. Out of 49 parameters estimated, six are statistically significant at the 10% level and one is significant at the 5% level; this is very close to what we would expect if household composition were random (five and two respectively). If one interprets these coefficients literally, they might provide suggestive evidence that typhoon exposure is

¹⁸This exact model is used throughout the paper to identify the effect of typhoons on time-varying outcomes. It is explained in greater detail in the next section.

positively associated with total family size and negatively with the probability that the household head has finished primary school. However, in neither case does the intensity of cyclone exposure matter in a systematic way, suggesting that these correlations are probably random¹⁹. Nonetheless, to be certain that bias from sorting along these covariates is minimized, we control for all of these all of them in our main regression models.

We are less concerned about sorting in the DHS data for two reasons. First, households in the DHS are asked whether they have ever lived anywhere other than their current location. This allows us to directly avoid sorting behavior by restricting our sample to non-migrant mothers. The second reason is that, unlike FIES data, the DHS consist of panel data that allow us to follow specific women over time. Thus, there are no compositional changes in the DHS panel that can be driven by typhoon exposure during the mother's adult life.

5 Results

We structure the presentation of our results as follows: We first demonstrate that our measure of typhoon incidence accurately predicts physical damage at both the macro and micro level in Section 5.1. We then demonstrate in Section 5.2 that the legacy of this physical destruction leads to losses to income the year following storms, which are closely matched by expenditure and consumption losses as detailed in Section 5.3. We then demonstrate the infant mortality impacts of typhoons in Section 5.4 and provide evidence supporting the argument that they stem from economic losses. Lastly we explore cross-sectional evidence of adaptation in Section 5.5.

¹⁹See Supplemental data for additional discussion.

5.1 Physical damages

It may seem obvious that typhoons are physically destructive, but measuring the economic importance of this destruction is not trivial. The first studies that used aggregate measures of tropical cyclone (including typhoon) losses were unable to detect any effect of storm intensity on losses (Kahn (2005); Noy (2009)). If this result were accepted at face value, it would imply that variations in the intensity of cyclone climates have no effect on economies. In this section we show evidence that our measure of typhoon incidence predicts physical damages using both national data from EM-DAT as well as asset loss data from FIES.

Evidence from national data

We begin by presenting *prima facie* evidence that aggregate losses scale with typhoon intensity in the Philippines. Using "standard" EM-DAT estimates for all the economic losses and deaths attributed to typhoons in each year, we estimate whether national losses increase with wind speed exposure, averaged over the entire country. National losses and their bivariate dependance on wind speed are shown in Figure V. In Table V we present several ordinary least-squares estimates for the time-series regression

$$Z_t = \alpha W_t + \mu + \theta_1 t + \theta_2 t^2 + \epsilon_t \tag{1}$$

where Z is the log of total deaths or total economic losses, W is typhoon wind speed, μ is a constant, θ_1 and θ_2 are trend terms and ϵ is variation that we do not explain. Following Pielke et al. (2003) and Hsiang and Narita (2012), we also present models where the dependent variable Z is normalized by the size of the economy (GDP) or the country's population.

We find that national average typhoon exposure explains about a third of the varia-

tion in EM-DAT's estimates for both total typhoon damages and total typhoon deaths. In all models, the intensity of wind exposure is highly significant, with an increase in wind exposure by one meter per second increasing losses roughly 22%. We note that the economic damages estimated by EM-DAT include capital losses, lost revenue and any other "economic cost" that is associated with a storm, but it is impossible with these data to uncover finely-grained structure that might indicate the mechanism by which either damages or, for that matter, deaths occur.

Household asset losses

To estimate typhoons' impact on household assets, we use ordinary least-squares regression to estimate the linear probability that a household has each of several different types of physical capital recorded in the FIES data. We control for unobserved household attributes common across households in a given year or province by including province and year fixed effects. We further augment the model with controls for households' observable characteristics, namely: the total number of household members; the number of members above fourteen years old; and age, gender and education level of the household head. Finally, we control for the annual mean temperature and rainfall observed in each province in each year, since these variables are known to affect economic conditions (Miguel, Satyanath, and Sergenti (2004); Nordhaus (2006b); Schlenker and Roberts (2009); Dell, Jones, and Olken (2009); Hsiang (2010)) and they are driven by many of the same climatological factors that affect typhoon incidence²⁰. Thus our complete regression model is

$$Z_{hprt} = \sum_{L=0}^{5} \left[\alpha_L W_{p,t-L} + \beta_L T_{p,t-L} + \gamma_L R_{p,t-L} \right] + \tau_t + \mu_p + \zeta X_h + \epsilon_{rt} + \epsilon_{ht}$$
(2)

 $^{^{20}}$ For example, typhoon activity in the West Pacific is affected by the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (Camargo and Sobel (2005)), which also influences temperatures and rainfalls in the Philippines .

where h indexes households, p indexes provinces, r indexes regions and t indexes years. Z is a one if a household has an asset and zero otherwise while W is typhoon wind speed, T is temperature, R is rainfall, τ is a year fixed-effect, μ is a province fixed-effect, X is the vector of observable household characteristics, ϵ_{rt} is a shock affecting all households in a region and ϵ_{ht} is a household level disturbance. We employ a distributed lag model to examine the effect of typhoon exposure for the five years prior to the survey, with lags indexed by L. In addition, because region-level shocks may exhibit unknown patterns of serial correlation and household-level shocks may exhibit spatial correlations at a sub-regional but supra-provincial scale, we cluster our estimated standard errors at the region level following Bertrand, Duflo, and Mullainathan (2004)²¹ and Conley (1999).

Table VI presents estimates of α for four of the most general and widely owned household assets: a closed toilet (eg. not a pail or open pit), a television, walls constructed with primarily strong materials (compared to light or salvaged materials) and access to electricity. We find that for all these assets response to previous year's typhoon treatment is negative and significant, varying between a 0.11% and 0.16% probability of loss per m/s of typhoon treatment, or 1.9 - 2.7 % given the average annual provincial treatment of 16.9 m/s. Cars, which we also show, do not respond at all, possibly because they are a valuable asset that can easily be moved quickly when typhoon forecasters warn populations about an impending storm²². We show additional results of typhoon treatment for an array of other household assets in Supplementary Table A1. We note that the average coefficient across all 14 assets in year zero is -0.036, versus an average coefficient in the first year lag of -.069. We estimate that the asset response in year 0 is thus 52.5% of the response in year 1, consistent with our observation that

²¹Because regions are aggregations of provinces and provinces are the level of treatment, clustering by region means we are also clustering at the level of treatment. Clustering at the province level does not appreciably change our results.

 $^{^{22}}$ It is also possible that the coefficients for cars is small because there are a limited number of households in our sample that ever possess a car.

year-of typhoon impacts estimated using FIES data will be biased downwards due to averaging across the two waves of the survey and possible attrition.

Non-Linear Estimates of Asset Losses We now relax, and test, the assumption that physical damages, and hence asset losses, are linear in windspeed. It is plausible that damage is highly non-linear in windspeed; for example, Nordhaus (2010) and Mendelsohn et al. (2012) argue that losses are a power function of windspeed at landfall. However, these papers examine aggregate storm damages, similar to Equation 1, so it is not obvious whether estimates using our micro-data should have similar functional forms. Thus, we estimate the losses to wind speed non-parametrically, allowing the response function to have an arbitrary functional form, and examine whether it is approximately linear or not. To do this, we construct dummy variables that are one if exposure falls within a five meter per second range and zero otherwise

$$\tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[x,x+5)} = \mathbf{1} \left[W_{p,t-1} \in [x,x+5) \right]$$

leaving events with 0-5 meters per second as the dropped bin. We then run the regression from Equation 2 where the inner product of these dummy variables and their coefficients replace the linear term $\alpha_1 W_{p,t-1}$. To limit the number of estimated parameters, we keep the remaining terms unchanged and focus our attention on the coefficients of these dummy variables. The full nonlinear model that we estimate is

$$Z_{hprt} = \alpha_1^{[5,10)} \tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[5,10)} + \alpha_1^{[10,15)} \tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[10,15)} + \alpha_1^{[15,20)} \tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[15,20)} + \alpha_1^{[20,25)} \tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[20,25)} + \alpha_1^{[20,25)} \tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[20,25)} + \alpha_1^{[25,30)} \tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[30,35)} + \alpha_1^{[30,35)} \tilde{W}_{p,t-1}^{[35,\infty)} + \sum_{L \in \{0,[2,5]\}} \alpha_L W_{p,t-L} + \sum_{L=0}^5 \left[\beta_L T_{p,t-L} + \gamma_L P_{p,t-L} \right] + \tau_t + \mu_p + \zeta X_h + \epsilon_{rt} + \epsilon_{ht}$$

$$(3)$$

and it is estimated using the same method and sample as Equation 2. Panel A of Figure VI displays these coefficients for six of the main asset types. The probability that households lose electricity, a closed toilet, walls made of strong materials, their television or their refrigerator increase approximately linearly with typhoon wind speed exposure. In contrast, the probability that a household loses a car to typhoon exposure remains near zero.

The linearity of these response functions indicates that our earlier estimate for the average number of households missing an asset due to typhoons was a good approximation. Furthermore, the coefficient for the 15-20 meter per second bin is generally in the range of 1.5-3%, matching our earlier linearized estimates. Finally, it is worth noting that exposures exceeding 35 meters per second (spatially-averaged) are not uncommon, recall Figure III, and these stronger events cause 4-7% of households to lose their immobile assets.

5.2 Income Losses

Our approach to estimating income losses mirrors our approach to estimating physical damages. We focus our attention on income earned by households the year following storm exposure, partly to minimize the aforementioned attenuation risk in the FIES data and partly because that is where the result manifests most strongly. We again note that the measures of total household income collected by FIES include all reported transfers from other households and the government. Prior work by Yang (2008) demonstrated that tropical cyclone strikes increased remittances to some countries, suggesting that transfers provided a mechanism for income insurance. In addition, Fafchamps (2003) used Filipino micro data to show that some income shocks lead to inter-household transfers that partially compensate for losses²³. These previous studies suggest that transfers might be important for mitigating household income losses, so it is fortunate that our measures of total income account for them.

Household Income Losses

To estimate the effect of typhoons on income, we estimate Equation 2 replacing Z with the natural logarithm of household income. Table VII presents these results²⁴ in in columns 1-4. Including all all our control variables, we find that household income falls by 0.39% for each additional meter per second of windspeed exposure the year prior. This implies that under average exposure levels (16.9 m/s), average household income is depressed 6.6%. In column 5 we estimate the same model except we match households to the average exposure of its region (the larger administrative unit) rather than its province. Doing this allows us to include the 2000 and 2006 waves of the FIES which we could not do otherwise because households in these waves lack province identifiers. Using this longer sample with coarser measures of exposure, we continue to find a large effect of typhoon exposure on household income. In a final specification check presented in column 6, we collapse our household data to the province level, dramatically reducing our number of observations from 142,779 to 367. This allows us

 $^{^{23}}$ Deryugina (2011) finds similar results for Federal transfers in response to hurricanes in the United States.

²⁴Similar to our results for capital losses, province fixed-effects are the most important control for limiting bias.

to conduct two additional checks: (1) whether we are over-estimating our true number of independent observations (Bertrand, Duflo, and Mullainathan (2004)) and (2) whether spatial correlations in ϵ cause us to underestimate our standard errors²⁵ (Conley (2008)). Estimating an analog²⁶ of Equation 2 using this collapsed data set and estimating spatially-robust standard errors²⁷ we find our coefficient of interest unchanged and that our standard errors increase only slightly.

In Table VIII, we examine whether wage or entrepreneurial income responds more strongly to cyclone exposure. Entrepreneurial income is income from self-employed activities, including own agricultural cultivation, whereas wage income is income earned by selling labor to firms or other households. We find that self-employed entrepreneurial income responds strongly and negatively in the year following storms, falling -0.28% per m/s or 4.7% for average treatment. Non-agricultural wages also fall by an average of 3.2% per year, although the effect is not significant, and it is worth noting that both coefficients are negative, but not significant, in the second lagged year as well. This is in stark contrast to agricultural wages, which do not respond negatively in the first lag and exhibit little systematic variation in response to typhoon exposure.

Table VIII also presents the estimated value of α_1 for different categories of entrepreneurial income ranked by the number of respondents claiming any income from that source. None of these estimates are statistically different from zero, probably because the sample size declines rapidly for each subcategory. However, we find that the point estimates for lost income are consistently negative with only two exceptions: earnings from gambling and income in the transport and storage industry. We thus are

 $^{^{25}}$ In theory, we could explicitly account for spatial correlation in errors using our micro-data, however it is not computationally cost effective.

 $^{^{26}}$ Using our collapsed data set requires that we introduce a lagged dependent variable into Equation 2 because aggregated output measures are highly correlated over time. We do not do this in the model with household data because that data set is not a true panel, so we do not know what household incomes were in the last period of observation.

 $^{^{27}}$ For technical reference, see Conley (1999).

confident in stating that income losses seem to not be driven by losses confined to a single sector.

Non-Linear Estimates of Income Losses We verify that our linear approximation of the income response is reasonable by estimating Equation 3 for household income. Panel B in Figure VII plots the coefficient for each wind speed bin along with its confidence interval, and panel B of Figure VI presents coefficients for the entrepreneurial and non-agricultural wage components of income. All of theses measures of household income loss are approximately linear in wind speed exposure. This linearity suggests that Equation 2 is a good approximation of the response function and it agrees with previously measured GDP responses to tropical cyclone exposure (Hsiang (2010)).

Subsamples We examine whether the loss of income that follows typhoon exposure differs across subpopulations by estimating Equation 2 on stratified subsamples of our data. We separate the sample based on (1) whether a household's location is urban or not, based on the NSO's scoring of a location, (2) the sex of the household head, and (3) whether the household head completed primary school. Across all subpopulations the overall lag structure is similar to the pooled estimate, with income loss in the first year after exposure being large and subsequent labs being smaller or zero. In the first year after exposure. Households headed by a male and households headed by an individual with at least a primary school education are estimated to suffer income losses that are slightly larger, but none of the differences in estimated effect-sizes are statistically significant. In general, the income loss following typhoon exposure appears to be pervasive across these subpopulations.

Income Losses at Different Locations of the Income Distribution

Kahn (2005), Skidmore and Toya (2007), Noy (2009), Hsiang (2010), Hsiang and Narita (2012), the United Nations (2009) and the World Bank (2010) have all suggested that poor populations experience larger relative losses to natural disasters, including tropical cyclones. Though compelling, these analyses have been based on country-level comparisons of income which may be tainted by an array of confounding factors as well as subject to omitted variable bias. We explore whether this relationship is plausible within-country by comparing losses for high and low-income households that inhabit the same Filipino province and are subject to the same institutional environment.

The FIES are not a true panel, so we cannot condition household income (or capital) losses on income in the previous period. We overcome this limitation by comparing how the *income distribution* in each province responds to typhoon exposure. To do this, we first collapse the data by province-year, retaining estimates of Y_{pt}^q , the household income at the *q*-quantile of the income distribution for province *p* in year *t*. Thus, for each value of *q* we have a panel of province by year observations that we use to estimate the model

$$Y_{pt}^{q} = \rho^{q} Y_{p,t-1}^{q} + \sum_{L=0}^{5} \left[\alpha_{L}^{q} W_{p,t-L} + \beta_{L}^{q} T_{p,t-L} + \gamma_{L}^{q} R_{p,t-L} \right] + \tau_{t}^{q} + \mu_{p}^{q} + \epsilon_{pt}^{q}$$
(4)

where all coefficients are q-quantile specific versions of those described in Equation 2 and ρ^q is a q-quantile specific autocorrelation coefficient that we introduce because our collapse of the dataset generates substantial autocorrelation (recall column 6 of Table VII). Similar to before, our variable of interest is α_1^q , the relative shift of income observed at the q-quantile following the previous year's typhoon exposure.

Panel A of Figure VIII presents OLS estimates of α_1^q for $q \in \{10, 20, ..., 90\}$ along with confidence intervals. Strikingly, the semi-elasticity of income to wind speed is practically constant at all points along the income distribution, with the response always near the average household response (horizontal line). These results seem at odds with earlier cross-country studies that found different short-run responses for high and lowincome populations. Yet, a completely different picture emerges when we examine the cumulative impact of typhoons on the shape of the income distribution. Panel B shows estimates for the cumulative effect of one additional meter per second in wind: $\sum_{L=0}^{5} \alpha_L^q$. When we sum coefficients for all the years following a storm, we see that incomes below the median suffer much larger cumulative losses than income above the median, which actually exhibit no cumulative losses. This occurs because losses at low ends of the income distribution persist for several years after the storm, whereas incomes at the high end of the distribution actually rise slightly above average a few years after the storm, allowing these groups to recover previously uncarned income. Thus, when we look at typhoon-induced income losses beyond the first year we find strong evidence that the income distribution widens, with low-income households suffering differentially larger cumulative losses when compared to high-income households.

5.3 Consumption and Investment Effects

Having found strong evidence that household incomes respond negatively to typhooninduced economic losses, we examine whether consumption and investment expenditures also adjust. To determine whether expenditures fall, we implement the same analysis that we conducted for income, but instead examine the consumption and investment variables available in FIES. Broadly, these variables are all "expenditures," although it is not always possible to clearly distinguish whether a specific variable represents "consumption" or "investment," since many expenditures represent a combination of the two. For example, "recreation" is clearly a consumption good, while "education" is mostly an investment in human capital, but "food" is probably some combination since food consumption increases immediate utility but also augments health, a specific dimension of human capital.

We note that a change in expenditures absent information about a potential change in prices does not allow one to infer changes in consumption. We check for and find little evidence that typhoons affect regional prices for food in Supplementary Tables A2-A4.

Household Losses to Consumption and Investment

We estimate Equation 2, replacing Z with the logarithm of household expenditures, and present the results in Table X. In column 1 we show that household expenditures fall 0.42% for each additional meter per second in the prior year's typhoon wind speed. This implies that under the average level of exposure (16.9 m/s), total expenditures are 7.1% lower than they would otherwise be due to the transient impact of the typhoon climate, mirroring the average income loss of 6.6%. In columns 2-11, we estimate Equation 2 for different expenditure subcategories. For eight out of ten subcategories we observe similar patterns of losses with the exceptions being recreational expenditures, which declines insignificantly, and repairs to the household's capital assets, which rise slightly. Notably, some of the largest relative declines in spending occur in categories (-0.85% per m/s), and education (-0.79% per m/s). Food expenditures, another type of investment in human capital, do not decline as strongly. However, clear reductions in food expenditure seem to extend over a longer period of time, beginning immediately in the year of storm exposure and continuing for three years afterwards.

In Table XI we decompose the response of food expenditures into its different subcategories. The strongest declines are clearly in the purchase of meat, with strong responses also appearing in the fish, dairy & eggs and fruit categories. Purchases of cereal also decline, but much less than the more nutritious foods. The overall structure of this response is consistent with previous observations by Subramainian and Deaton (1996) and Jensen and Miller (2008) that real income losses lead to a shift in food consumption that protects overall calorie intake at the expense of nutrients.

The last three columns of Table XI present the expenditure response for nonalcoholic beverages, alcoholic beverages and tobacco. All three types of purchases decline in the year of typhoon exposure, however their responses after that year diverge: nonalcoholic purchase remain low for up to three more years, alcoholic purchases mostly recover but also have long but statistically insignificant declines, while tobacco purchases become insignificantly positive in the year following exposure but then return to their original level. This relatively lower income elasticity of alcohol and tobacco, pure consumption goods, relative to more nutritious foods, partially human-capital investments, agrees with our earlier observation that other non-food varieties of human capital investments decline more rapidly than expenditures that more closely resemble pure consumption goods (Table X). This finding is consistent with the hypothesis that wealth shocks directly alter the utility function of household members by increasing the marginal utility of immediate consumption (e.g., Banerjee and Mullainathan (2010)).

Non-Linear Estimates of Consumption and Investment Losses Following our earlier estimates, we verify that our linear model is a good approximation of the expenditure response by non-parametrically estimating the impact to typhoon exposure. We estimate Equation 3 for total expenditures and present our coefficients in Panel D of Figure VII. We find that the response of expenditures is almost exactly linear and mirrors the response to income, which we illustrate by overlaying the two responses in Panel C of Figure VI. When we examine the various subcategories of expenditures, which we show in Panel D of Figure VI, we continue to observe responses that are linear in typhoon wind speed. Inspecting subcategories of food purchases, displayed in Panel E, we see the same linear structure.

Subsamples We examine whether the reduction of expenditures following typhoon exposure differs based on whether the household is urban or not, the household head's sex and household head's level of education. We stratify the sample along these dimensions and estimate Equation 2, tabulating the estimated effect of typhoons on lagged expenditures in the second row of Table IX. Male headed households reduce their expenditure slightly more than female headed households, mirroring the 0.1% per m/s differential in average income loss for these subpopulations. However, none of the differences in expenditure responses are statistically significant for any of these stratifications.

Expenditure Losses at Different Locations of the Expenditure Distribution

We next examine how the expenditure distribution responds to typhoon incidence, demonstrating that it mirrors the response of in the income distribution. We estimate Equation 4 for both total expenditures and food expenditures, displaying our results in panels C-F of Figure VIII. Identical to our results for the income distribution, the total expenditure and food expenditure distributions shift coherently the year after typhoon exposure. This shift persists for the following years at q-quantiles below the median, generating large cumulative impacts. In contrast, total expenditure actually rises in later years for households above median expenditure, leading to a cumulative impact near zero. Households above median food expenditure do not consume extra food in later years, however, so cumulative effects are observable throughout the distribution. It is plausible that this occurs because the benefits or utility from food consumption are not substitutable over time periods longer than a year.

5.4 Infant mortality

Our final analysis of household outcomes centers around estimating infant mortality. We first show that the entirety of the child and infant mortality response is driven by infant female deaths, and then provide evidence suggesting that these deaths are attributable to typhoon-induced economic losses and the resulting household decisions.

The Response of Infant Mortality

We estimate the effect of typhoons on child mortality by altering Equation 2 to reflect the structure of the DHS data. We arrive at the model

$$Z_{wrt} = \sum_{L=0}^{5} \left[\alpha_L W_{r,t-L} + \beta_L T_{r,t-L} + \gamma_L R_{r,t-L} \right] + \tau_t + \mu_w + \zeta X_{wt} + \epsilon_{rt} + \epsilon_{wt}$$
(5)

where w indexes a woman. Here, Z is one if a woman reports that a child of the relevant demographic category died in year t and zero otherwise. X_{wt} are the time-varying traits of a woman's age and age-squared. μ_w is a woman-specific fixed-effect that controls for any time-invariant woman-specific traits. Estimates with this model benefit from the fact that our reconstructed panel contains more years (24) than the FIES data (6); they suffer, however, in that DHS reports only the region a woman lives in and not her province, substantially shrinking the number of distinct treatment groups that we have in any given year (13). To account for the fact that all women in a region are coded as receiving the same typhoon exposure, as well as to account for any serial correlation within or between women that in same region, we cluster our standard errors at the region-level.

We present estimates for our parameter of interest, α , in Table XII. The coefficients report the number of additional women, out of one-million, who report the death of a child in association with an increase in wind speed of one meter per second. The first column shows that there is a detectable increase in child mortality the year following a typhoon, with roughly 80 additional deaths (per one-million women) for an increase in exposure by one meter per second. For the period of observation, regional mean exposure was 15.3 meters per second. This suggests that in an average year roughly 1,220 women out of one-million would report a child dying due to typhoon exposure the prior year. Columns 2 and 3 decompose this response into deaths of male and female children, revealing that the bulk of these deaths are among females. Columns 4 and 5 examines whether theses female deaths are from young children and infants, and we see that almost all of the additional deaths are infant females: of the 80 child deaths per m/s, 73 of them are female infants. In contrast, examination of infant males in column 6 reveals that they do not contribute to the observed mortality response in the first lagged year at all.

Attributing Infant Mortality to Economic Conditions and Household Decisions

We chose to examine child mortality partly because we claimed that they reflect human capital stocks, and we interpret these female infant deaths as evidence that households are disinvesting in the health of their female infant children. However, it is possible that these deaths are not a result of disinvestment, but instead result from the physical trauma of exposure to the typhoon itself or the typhoon's aftereffects on the ambient environment, e.g., disease ecology. We lack data on the proximate cause of death, so we cannot completely rule out these hypotheses. However, we are able to demonstrate that this mortality response mirrors the economic response across multiple dimensions, strongly suggesting that economic conditions are causing these infant deaths. Further, we are able to demonstrate that the patterns of infant mortality are consistent with our understanding of how households reallocate resources in response to a wealth shock. **Temporal Structure** The timing of female infant deaths does not suggest that they are a result of direct exposure to typhoons. We illustrate this point in Figure IX, where we estimate a version of Equation 5 using regional average mortality rates at the monthly level. The black line shows cumulative monthly infant female mortality impact of typhoon exposure, i.e., the rolling sum of coefficients for months 12 months before typhoon impact through month n, normalized such that the cumulative effect evaluated at the month preceeding typhoon impact is 0. We see that the coefficient of typhoon impact on month-of mortality is near zero, and the increase in mortality rates does not manifest until nearly a year after the storm has hit. Deaths continue to accumulate past the 12 month mark, beyond which all infants in the sample have been born after typhoon exposure. We can thus conclude that the bulk of deaths occur significantly later than any immediate traumatic impact of the storm could plausibly be acting.

It is reasonable to question whether fetal exposure to typhoons may be partly driving our results. The recent explosion of literature in fetal origins²⁸, including natural disasters' impacts on them (Simeonova (2011)), suggests that exposure to shocks while in utero can have serious deleterious effects on later health. One might thus posit that in utero weakening contributes to or perhaps even drives the increase in death rates. We explore this claim in Figure IX where the dotted grey line shows the number of female births *resulting in an infant deaths* per million households. We see that in utero effects may be contributing somewhat to the increase in deaths, as evinced by the increase in births ending in infant death immediately after typhoon impact. We nonetheless note that a large portion of the total cumulative births resulting in deaths occur 9 or more months after typhoon exposure, when in utero effects are strictly impossible. We conclude that while in utero impacts may be accelerating the increase in infant deaths,

 $^{^{28}}$ For a detailed overview see Almond and Currie (2011).
they can at most be an auxiliary. This observation is further supported by the lack of a similar death pattern among infant males as detailed in the Supplementary data.

Returning to the annual data, we note that there is a striking agreement between the timing of depressed economic conditions and female infant mortality. The left panels of Figure VII display the timing of income losses, expenditure reductions and female infant mortality. The spike in mortality coincides with the sharp reductions in income and expenditure described earlier. Both mortality and economic conditions remain abnormal two years after a typhoon, although effects are smaller and are only marginally significant. Differences only arise three and four years after the storm, when mortality remains slightly elevated but average income and expenditure return to their baseline values.

Non-linear Structure We look for nonlinear structure of the mortality response by altering Equation 5 so that α_1 is decomposed following Equation 3. Panel F of Figure VI displays the response function for all children, all infants and female infants. The responses of the larger samples are noisy but approximately linear, but when we isolate the infant female deaths that are driving the pooled response we see an almost exactly linear response. Presenting the income, expenditure and female infant mortality responses in the right panels of Figure VII, we see that all three all three match in their linear responses to typhoon wind speed exposure.

Structure Across Subsamples We examine whether female infant mortality following typhoon exposure differs based on whether the household is urban or not, the household head's sex and household head's level of education. In the third row of Table IX, we tabulate the estimated effect of typhoons on female infant mortality for these subsamples²⁹. Similar to the response of income and expenditure, there are no statistically significant differences in the mortality response across these subpopulations and the point-estimates for the mortality response within male-headed households and households headed by more educated individuals is somewhat higher. We note that the pattern of heterogenous mortality effects across subsamples matches the pattern of expenditures but the relative difference in effect-sizes tend to be greater for mortality (35-63% larger for the more affected group) compared to expenditures (7-29%) – unfortunately, it is difficult to know if these larger differences are meaningful or arise because the standard errors of our mortality estimates are substantially larger than in our estimates for economic responses. Nonetheless, the overall pervasiveness of infant mortality across subsamples matches the pervasiveness of income loss and expenditure reduction.

Distributional Structure The DHS data lack income information, so to examine distributional aspects of our results we instead examine the mortality response at different locations in the *wealth* distribution. We outline our method for inferring household wealth in the Supplementary data. In Table XIII we present the response of female infant mortality for women above and below the median for assets, as well as for the bottom and top deciles. We find that in the year immediately following storm exposure, mortality is slightly higher in the lowest wealth groups, and moreover remains elevated for several years. This pattern of relative uniformity in the year after the storm, with a slower recovery for poor households, matches the response of income, expenditures and human capital investments, as we illustrate in Figure 4.

 $^{^{29}}$ Unlike earlier stratifications based on the FIES (for income and expenditure), we were unable to construct the education level of the household head at the time of typhoon exposure. Instead, we used the level of education for the mother. This alternative variable provided a very similar relative partitioning of the data, with 34.8% of non-migrant mothers (in DHS) having completed primary school compared to 36.0% of household heads (in FIES).

Spatial Structure Up to this point, we have only estimated response functions that pool all Filipino observations, however it is possible that some regions are more or less susceptible to typhoon-induced economic losses. If this is true, and if economic losses are the mechanism through which typhoons increase female infant mortality, then regions suffering larger typhoon-induced economic losses should also exhibit larger typhoon-induced infant mortality. To examine whether this is the case, we estimate region-specific versions of the coefficient α_1 . We do this by modifying Equations 2 and 5 so that α_1 is interacted with a vector of region dummies. In the top panel of Figure X, we plot $-\alpha_1^{food expenditure}$ against $-\alpha_1^{income}$ for each region. The strong positive correlation verifies that locations with larger typhoon-induced income losses are also the regions with larger reductions in typhoon-induced food purchases, one of the most important inputs to human capital. In the bottom panel, we plot $\alpha_1^{infant mortality}$ against the coefficient for income, finding that the regions with stronger economic responses to typhoons are also the regions with stronger mortality responses.

Gender Bias A striking feature of the response is that it is completely restricted to female infants, with no similar response in male infants, as can be seen in Table XII. Differentially worse health outcomes for female children in times of economic duress are a common result in the development literature, see e.g., Rose (1999) for a specific case and Duflo (2005) for an overview. This pattern is generally thought to arise because parents give less weight to girls' outcomes when making decisions about intrahousehold resource allocations. Senauer, Garcia, and Jacinto (1988) provide supportive evidence that this dismal situation applies to the Philippines as well. Thus, our finding that typhoon-induced infant mortality is a strictly female phenomenon is consistent with previous work on the within-household allocation of resources following income shocks.

We note that it is possible that the gender differential in mortality could be partly

driven, at least shortly after impact, by the commonly documented tendency of males to die in utero at higher rates than females (Almond and Currie (2011); Sanders and Stoecker (2011)). We examine this claim in the Supplementary data and note that while it may be occurring in our data, it cannot explain more than a portion of unobserved male deaths in the first year.

Resource Competition Among Siblings If the female infant mortality that we observe occurs because of disinvestment in female children, it is plausible that this disinvestment will be larger if the female infant faces greater competition for resources via older siblings, particularly older brothers³⁰. We look for evidence that female children who must compete for resources with other children are more likely to die in the year following a typhoon by estimating the mortality response of four subsamples of female infants: those who are the first born to their mother, those who have only older sisters, those who have only older brothers, and those who have both older sisters and brothers. Table XIV presents our results. We find that mortality among first born females is moderate, but it doubles when infants have older sisters and nearly doubles again if there are any older brothers. We interpret these findings as strong evidence that female infant mortality is driven by resource scarcity within households and not by physical exposure to typhoons themselves.

"Economic Deaths" Exceed "Exposure Deaths"

Our finding that infant mortality (1) mirrors the structure of economic losses – in time, space, subpopulations, income/wealth, and storm intensity – combined with our finding that it is (2) gendered, (3) enhanced by sibling competition, and (4) apparent in children that were not conceived at the time of exposure, strongly suggests that these infant deaths are caused by economic conditions that deteriorate in the wake of typhoons and

 $^{^{30}}$ See, for example, Butcher and Case (1994).

not by physical exposure to the typhoons themselves. We thus term the lagged mortality we observe in our data "economic deaths" to distinguish it from the "exposure deaths" resulting from direct physical exposure to the storm itself, e.g., via drowning or blunt injuries. We estimate that in the average year, the prior year's typhoon climate causes 1,130 additional female infant deaths in every one-million households, roughly 55% of female infant mortality in our sample of non-migrant mothers. These "economic deaths" among female infants constitutes 13% of the overall infant mortality rate in the Philippines. A back-of-the-envelope calculation³¹ suggests that across the entire country this amounts to approximately 11,261 "economic deaths" annually. This number exceeds 721, the annual average³² number of "exposure deaths" reported by EM-DAT across the entire population, by more than a factor of 15. These findings indicate that the bulk of the Filipino mortality from typhoons does not result from physical exposure to the storm. Rather, 94% of mortality occurs after the storm has passed and is attributable to the deterioration of economic conditions and subsequent disinvestment in health and human capital. To date, the authors know of no previous study that attributes these "economic deaths" to typhoon exposure.

5.5 Evidence of Adaptation to Typhoon Climates

Households should suffer positive typhoon loses only if adaptation to their typhoon climate is costly. Here, we briefly examine whether there is evidence of adaptation to typhoons using cross-sectional variation in typhoon climates (recall Figures I and III).

³¹We observe a death rate per woman-household of 1,130 deaths per million; 44.8% of women in the sample are non-migrants; and there were 22.3 million women aged 15-49 (the DHS age range) in 2007 according to the Philippine National Statistics Office as detailed at http://www.census.gov.ph/data/pressrelease/2010/pr10162tx.html.

 $^{^{32}}$ Between 1985-2006.

Optimal Adaptation in Theory

We imagine that households can exert costly adaptive effort e to reduce their losses if a typhoon strikes³³. If the cost function over e is convex, then households will exert adaptive efforts only until their marginal costs of effort equal the expected marginal benefits. Because adaptive efforts only provide benefits when a typhoon actually strikes, locations that have more frequent or more intense typhoons should have greater returns to adaptation. Thus, theory predicts that households located in relatively intense typhoon climates will invest more in costly adaptation, reducing their marginal losses when a typhoon actually strikes. Denoting a household's optimal level of adaptive effort e^* , we expect

$$\frac{\partial e^*}{\partial \bar{W}} > 0 \tag{6}$$

where \overline{W} is expected typhoon wind exposure, a summary statistic for a location's typhoon-climate. Unfortunately, we cannot directly observe whether this is true because we do not observe e^* . However, increasing effort reduces marginal losses $(-\partial Y/\partial W)$ to a fixed level of actual typhoon exposure

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial e}\frac{\partial Y(e)}{\partial W} < 0.$$
(7)

This enables us to infer that adaptation is occurring if we see that marginal losses decline as climates intensify. Assuming households optimize, we multiply equations 6 and 7 to obtain

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}}\frac{\partial Y(e^*)}{\partial W} < 0 \tag{8}$$

³³For example, households could reinforce the walls of their home.

a result that we now investigate empirically. For a more complete treatment of optimal adaptation to tropical cyclone climates, as well as empirical evidence from around the world, we refer readers to Hsiang and Narita (2012).

Cross-Sectional Evidence of Adaptation

We test Equation 8 by examining whether typhoon-induced losses vary with the typhoon climatologies of different Philippine regions. In the top left panel of Figure XI, we plot the negative semi-elasticity of income $(-\partial Y/\partial W)$ for each region against its average typhoon exposure (\bar{W}) . Consistent with Equation 8, the marginal effect of typhoon exposure declines with increasingly intense typhoon climates. This suggests that populations do invest adaptive effort in response to their typhoon climate. However, we note that all regions have positive marginal losses, indicating that no region undertakes "complete adaptation" by driving their marginal damages to zero. In the lower left panel we provide suggestive evidence that this adaptive response can also be observed in female infant mortality responses.

Two points regarding the left panels of Figure XI are worth noting. First, the average losses due to cyclones remain high even for regions that exhibit high levels of adaptation. This occurs because average exposure necessarily increases with the climatological wind speed, so more intense average exposure counteracts falling marginal losses. In the right panels of Figure XI we plot estimates for average annual losses³⁴ and find that average total losses are large across all climatologies³⁵. The second point of note is that the slope of the OLS fit in the upper left panel, representing the response of adaptive effort to climatological conditions, is -0.04. This implies that marginal income losses decline by roughly 2.8% with each one meter per second increase in climatological wind speed.

³⁴We compute a regions average annual losses to be that region's marginal loss times its average exposure level: $-(\partial Y/\partial W) \times \bar{W}$.

 $^{^{35}\}mathrm{We}$ fit a quadratic curve to both panels because total average cost should be quadratic if the response in the left panels are linear.

This number, estimated using only the within-Philippines cross-section, almost exactly matches Hsiang and Narita's (2012) earlier estimate (3%) which used the cross section of all countries in the world³⁶.

6 Summary and Discussion

We have shown that the typhoon climate of the Western Pacific imposes major economic and human costs on Filipino households. We observe this impact directly in the form of lost physical assets; measure its economic effect of depressing household income and reducing consumption and human capital investments; and lastly show evidence that these disinvestments have irreversible consequences, which we demonstrate by examining infant mortality. We discuss some implications of these results and policy options below.

The Magnitude of Typhoon Losses

In Table XV we summarize our estimates for the average effects of the prior year's typhoon exposure on an average household in an average year. On average, typhoons reduce household income by 6.6% and household expenditures by 7.1%. Total food expenditure declines similarly (5.9%) but larger declines appear in critical human capital investments such as meat (12.5%), education (13.3%) and medical (14.3%) expenditures. Typhoons, and the disinvestments in human capital which they cause, elevate female infant mortality by 18.1 deaths per 1,000 live births. In aggregate, this corresponds to roughly 11,300 additional deaths per year, constituting 13% of the overall

³⁶Using the same measure of cyclones exposure (spatially averaged maximum wind speed), Hsiang and Narita (2012) found that increasing average exposure by 1 m/s led to adaptive adjustments which reduced marginal damages by approximately 3% of their baseline value (when average exposure was 0 m/s). Our results, presented in Figure XI, indicate that increasing average exposure by 1 m/s reduces marginal income losses by roughly 2.8% of the analogous baseline value (marginal income losses are 0.0143 log points per m/s when average exposure is set to 0 m/s in the upper left panel of Figure XI).

infant mortality rate of the Philippines.

In Figure XII we compare these effects with officially reported losses to typhoons (same data as Figure V), losses which are almost exclusively incurred during physical exposure to the storm. We estimate average annual damages due to tropical cyclones as reported in the EM-DAT file to be 0.39% of average real Filipino GDP over the years 1979-2000; in contrast, we estimate that typhoons cause the average household's income to decline 6.6% in the following year, a loss that is 16.9 times larger. (To place these numbers in context, the Philippines' National Statistical Office estimates that the average family's savings rate was 15.0% in 2009^{37} – indicating that these losses represent a substantial portion of a household's budget.) Similarly, we find that annual "exposure deaths" due to typhoons during 1979-2008 average 743; in contrast, our analysis indicates that 11,261 "economic deaths" among female infants occur in the year following typhoon exposure, a loss that is 15.1 times as large. Together these results suggest that the majority of disaster costs may be incurred well after the disaster has past.

These results are large, and it is important to be clear when interpreting them. We calculate these losses based on mean typhoon incidence in the average province/region; so we interpret them as capturing the expected difference in outcomes between a typhoon-free year and a year experiencing average typhoon exposure (16.9 m/s). They can thus be thought of as mean losses conditional on the Philippines having the typhoon climate that it has; any major shift in that typhoon climate would necessarily lead to a host of adaptive responses that are impossible to estimate given lack of an observable counterfactual.

³⁷Calculated using an average family income of 206,000 pesos and average savings of 31,000 pesos for 2009. Data available at http://www.nscb.gov.ph/secstat/d_income.asp; see table "Total Number of Families, Family Income and Family Expenditure and Gini Coefficient: 2009 and 2006."

Implications for Economic Development

Our results indicate that typhoons destroy existing capital and reduce investments in new capital. Both of these effects are a concern for economic development. As discussed in Hsiang (2010), Dell, Jones, and Olken (2012), and Pindyck (2011), climatic conditions that interfere with capital accumulation and economic growth are particularly pernicious because their effects are compounded over time. The repeated exposure of populations to tropical cyclones, both in the Philippines and elsewhere, probably slows the accumulation of capital stocks at the household level. Unfortunately, such long run effects are difficult to identify empirically because cross-sectional variations in cycloneclimates are correlated with unobservable omitted variables; hopefully, future research will address this challenge. Nonetheless, given our evidence, tropical cyclones should be added to the list of geographically-varying factors which may be contributing to spatial patterns in global economic development (Gallup, Sachs and Mellinger (1999); Nordhaus (2006b)).

Implications for Climate Change

As previously discussed and shown in Figure I, the Philippines has one of the most active typhoon climatologies in the world. The frequency with which typhoons impact the Philippines suggests that households must understand and incorporate typhoon risk into their economic decisions (Mendelsohn (2000); Hsiang and Narita (2012)). Thus, we interpret our estimates as conditional on households having already exploited the full range of adaptive behaviors available to them. This assumption is supported by our cross-sectional evidence that levels of adaptation vary across typhoon-climates, results that match the cross-country findings of Hsiang and Narita (2012) with striking precision. The fact that we continue to observe large typhoon impacts in one of the world's most intense typhoon climates, where populations have already adapted optimally, suggests that adaptation costs are so high that populations find they are better off suffering typhoon losses rather than investing in additional adaption. This has unsettling implications for future climate change policy.

In the design of climate change policy, adaptation to climatic changes is viewed as a substitute for efforts to mitigate climate changes directly (Stern (2006); Nordhaus (2008); de Bruin, Dellink and Tol (2009); Aldy et al. (2010); Patt et al. (2010)). If adaptation is generally inexpensive compared to mitigation, then the cost-minimizing strategy is to not invest heavily in mitigation and instead to rely primarily on adaptation. However, if adaptation is very costly, then mitigation should be utilized more vigorously. Our findings suggest that adaptation to tropical cyclones is extremely costly; thus policies cannot assume that adaptation to changes in the future cyclone climate³⁸ will be cheap. This should increase the estimated social cost of greenhouse gas emissions, and concomitantly the value of mitigating these emissions. We may speculate that technological advances will reduce the future cost of adaptation, but until further evidence is martialled this remains an assumption. Moreover, if adaptation costs to tropical cyclones are representative of adaptation costs to a broader class of climatological phenomena, this would suggest that current models of future adaptation are too optimistic (de Bruin, Dellink and Tol (2009)).

 $^{^{38}\}mathrm{Knutson}$ et al. (2010), a recent review of this topic, conclude

[[]F]uture projections based on theory and high-resolution dynamical models consistently indicate that greenhouse warming will cause the globally averaged intensity of tropical cyclones to shift towards stronger storms, with intensity increases of 211% by 2100. Existing modeling studies also consistently project decreases in the globally averaged frequency of tropical cyclones, by 634%. Balanced against this, higher resolution modelling studies typically project substantial increases in the frequency of the most intense cyclones, and increases of the order of 20% in the precipitation rate within 100 km of the storm centre. (p. 157)

Thus the entire distribution of tropical cyclone events is expected to shift on average, with fewer low intensity storms but more frequent high intensity storms. However, there remains extensive uncertainty and the relationship between tropical cyclones and warming is an area of active research.

Policy Options in the Current Climate

Setting aside issues surrounding the future climate, it is important to note that there are a variety of targeted policies that might increase the welfare of Filipino households, or other typhoon-afflicted populations, in the current climate. At present, large-scale postdisaster management is almost entirely an *ad hoc* process that is strongly influenced by political concerns and the media (Besley and Burgess (2002); Garrett and Sobel (2003); Eisensee and Strömberg (2007); Yang (2008); Kunreuther et al. (2009); United Nations (2009)). However, our findings provide insight into systematic policies that could address typhoon-induced welfare loss.

Insurance Social insurance allows Filipino households to smooth their consumption over some, but not all, income shocks (Fafchamps (2003), Yang and Choi (2007)). Our observation that consumption responds strongly to typhoons, reflecting income changes, indicates that current insurance networks are not well-diversified against these events. Perhaps this occurs because typhoons are large with respect to insurance networks, a fact that would reduce the idiosyncratic component of the income shock (Townsend (1995)). Expanding insurance networks over larger spatial scales should reduce the uninsurable aggregate component of typhoon shocks; however this must be done carefully as even wealthy countries have struggled to sustainably insure tropical cyclone risk (Kunreuther et al. (2009)).

Credit Without looking specifically at credit markets, we cannot say exactly how they behave in the wake of Typhoons. However, we observe that income and consumption in low income households recover more slowly than in high income households. It is plausible that this differentially slow recovery persists because poor households are credit constrained, preventing them from efficiently rebuilding their capital stocks (Duflo and Banerjee (2007); Noy (2009)). If this is true, subsidizing the development of credit markets for low-income households may increase their resilience.

Information It seems unlikely that the households in which female infants die are intentionally allowing these infants to perish. It is more plausible that parents believe their newborn can cope with higher-than-average levels of neglect, and that there will be limited permanent damage (Duflo and Banerjee (2011)). Unfortunately, for a small number of unlucky families, this assumption proves false. It may be the case that simply educating parents about the risks of post-typhoon neglect will be enough to mitigate a large portion of typhoons' effect on infant mortality.

Targeted Subsidies If household decisions were made to perfectly optimize household welfare, than post-disaster economic decisions would be efficient. Unfortunately, it seems that children's long-term welfare, which depends in part on their human capital, is differentially neglected in comparison to short-term consumption of goods like recreation, tobacco and alcohol. In this situation, it may be optimal to tax adults to finance human capital subsidies that specifically target children. To avoid political manipulation of these subsidies, it might be possible that they be indexed to verifiable measures of typhoon exposure (Hellmuth (2009)).

Technology Standards Because it is difficult for consumers to verify the quality of infrastructure, construction quality may not be properly priced into markets (Olken (2007)). This could introduce additional uncertainty into households' calculation of the economic risk they bear in a particular typhoon-climate. To correct for this market imperfection, it may be optimal for governments to enforce building codes or other technology standards that mandate a specified level of robustness to typhoon exposure.

Research and Develop Adaptation Technologies We find a continuous gradient in levels of adaptation that reflects the gradient in cyclone risk. This suggests that adaptation technologies are effective, however the cost of adopting additional adaptation technology is binding throughout the Philippines (Hsiang and Narita (2012)). Thus, research and development that raises the effectiveness or reduces the cost of adaptive technologies should induce households to employ greater levels of self-protection.

UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY AND UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

References

- Acemoglu, Daron, Simon Johnson, and James A. Robinson, "Reversal Of Fortune: Geography And Institutions In The Making Of The Modern World Income Distribution," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117 (2002), 1231–1294.
- Albala-Bertrand, J. M., *The Political Economy of Large Natural Disasters* (Clarendon Press, 1993).
- Aldy, Joseph E., Alan J. Krupnick, Richard G. Newell, Ian W. H. Parry, and William A. Pizer, "Designing Climate Mitigation Policy," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 48 (2010), 903–934.
- Almond, Douglas and Janet Currie, "Killing Me Softly: The Fetal Origins Hypothesis," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 25 (2011).
- Auffhammer, Maximilian, Solomon Hsiang, Wolfram Schlenker, and Adam Sobel, "Global Climate Models and Climate Data: a User Guide for Economists," *Working paper*, (2010).
- Banerjee, Abhijit and Sendhil Mullainathan, "The Shape of Temptation: Implications for the Economic Lives of the Poor," Working Paper 15973, NBER, 2010.
- Benjamin, Marito Garcia Senauer and Elizabeth Jacinto, "Determinants of the Intrahousehold Allocation of Food in the Rural Philippines," American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 70 (1988), 170–180.
- Benson, C. and E. Clay, "Understanding the Economic and Financial Impacts of Natural Disasters," Manuscript, 2004.
- Bertrand, Marianne, Esther Duflo, and Sendhil Mullainathan, "How Much Should We Trust Differences-in-Differences Estimates?," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 119 (2004), 249–275.
- Besley, Timothy and Robin Burgess, "The Political Economy of Government Responsiveness: Theory and Evidence from India," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117 (2002), 1415–1451.
- Bevere, Lucia, Brian Rogers, and Balz Grollimund, Sigma Natural catastrophes and manmade disasters in 2010: a year of devastating and costly events (Swiss Reinsurance Company Ltd., 2011).
- Bhalotra, Sonia, "Fatal fluctuations? Cyclicality in infant mortality in India," Journal of Development Economics, 93 (2010), 7–19.
- and Christopher Heady, "Child Farm Labor: The Wealth Paradox," World Bank Economic Review, 17 (2003), 197–227.

- Bloom, David E., David Canning, and Jaypee Sevilla, "Geography and Poverty Traps," *Journal of Economic Growth*, 8 (2003), 355–378.
- Butcher, Kristin F. and Anne Case, "The Effect of Sibling Sex Composition on Women's Education and Earnings," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 109 (1994), 531–563.
- Carmargo, Suzana J. and Adam H. Sobel, "Western North Pacific Tropical Cyclone Intensity and ENSO," *Journal of Climate*, (2005).
- Caselli, Francesco and Massimo Morelli, "Bad politicians," *Journal of Public Economics*, 88 (2004), 759–782.
- Cavallo, Eduardo and Ilan Noy, "The Economics of Natural Disasters: A Survey," RES Working Papers 4649, Inter-American Development Bank, Research Department, 2009.
- Chakravarty, Abhishek, "Dams and infant mortality in Africa," Working Paper, University College London, 2011.
- Chay, Kenneth Y. and Michael Greenstone, "The Impact Of Air Pollution On Infant Mortality: Evidence From Geographic Variation In Pollution Shocks Induced By A Recession," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118 (2003), 1121–1167.
- Chen, Joyce, "Dads, disease, and death: determinants of daughter discrimination," Journal of Population Economics, 24 (2011).
- Cochrane, John H., "A Simple Test of Consumption Insurance," Journal of Political Economy, 99 (1991), 957–976.
- Conley, Timothy, "GMM Estimation with Cross Sectional Dependence," Journal of Econometrics, 92 (1999), 1–45.
- $_$, Spatial Econometrics" (2008)."
- Davis, Donal R. and David E. Weinstein, "Bones, Bombs, and Break Points: The Geography of Economic Activity," *American Economic Review*, 92 (2002), 1269–1289.
- de Bruin, Kelly C., Rob B. Dellink, and Richard S. J. Tol, "AD-DICE: an implementation of adaptation in the DICE model," *Climatic Change*, 95 (2009), 63–81.
- Dell, Melissa, Benjamin F. Jones, and Benjamin A. Olken, "Temperature and Income: Reconciling New Cross-Sectional and Panel Estimates," *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, 99 (2009), 198–204.
- _, _, and _, "Climate Change and Economic Growth: Evidence from the Last Half Century," American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 4 (2012), 66–95.

- Dercon, S. and I. Outes, "Income Dynamics in Rural India: Testing for Poverty Traps and Multiple Equilibria," Manuscript, 2009.
- Deryugina, Tatyana, "The Dynamic Effects of Hurricanes in the US: The Role of Non-Disaster Transfer Payments," Job Market Paper, M.I.T., 2011.
- Deschênes, Olivier and Enrico Moretti, "Extreme weather events, mortality and migration," The Review of Economics and Statistics, 91 (2009), 659–681.
- _, Robin Burgess, David Donaldson, and Michael Greenstone, "Climate Change and Mortality in India," *Mimeo*, (2011).
- Duflo, Esther, "Child Health and Household Resources in South Africa: Evidence from the Old Age Program," American Economic Review, 90 (2000), 393–398.
- ____, "Gender Equality in Development," Working Paper, Abdul Latif Jameel Professor of Poverty Alleviation and Development Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technolog, 2005.
- and Abhijit Banerjee, "The Economic Lives of the Poor," The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 21 (2007), 141–168.
- $_$ and $_$, *Poor Economics* (PublicAffairs, 2011).
- E., E. N. Rappaport Willoughby H. and F. D. Marks, "Hurricane Forecasting: The State of the Art," Natural Hazards Review, 8 (2007), 45–50.
- Easterly, W. and R. Levine, "Tropics, germs and crops: how endowments influence economic development," J. of Monetary Econ., 50 (2003), 3–39.
- Eisensee, Thomas and David Strömberg, "News Droughts, News Floods, and U.S. Disaster Relief," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 122 (2007), 693–728.
- Ericta, Carmelita and Emma Fabian, "A Documentation of the Philippines' Family Income and Expenditure Survey," Discussion Paper 2009-18, Philippine Institute for Development Studies, 2009.
- Fafchamps, Marcel and Hwa Jung Choi, "Risk-sharing networks in rural Philippines," Journal of Development Economics, 71 (2003), 261–287.
- Fomby, T., Y. Ikeda, and N. Loayza, "The Growth Aftermath of Natural Disasters," Manuscript, 2009.
- Foster, Andrew D. and Mark R. Rosenzweig, "Imperfect Commitment, Altruism, and the Family: Evidence from Transfer Behavior in Low-Income Rural Areas," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 83 (2001), 389–407.

- Freedman, David, "Statistical Models and Shoe Leather," Sociological Methodology, 21 (1991), 291–313.
- Gallup, J. L., J. D. Sachs, and A. D. Mellinger, "Geography and Economic Development," Consulting Assistance on Economic Reform II, Discussion Papers, (1999).
- Garrett, Thomas A and Russell S Sobel, "The Political Economy of FEMA Disaster Payments," *Economic Inquiry*, 41 (2003), 496–509.
- Greene, William H., Econometric Analysis, Fifth Edition (Prentice Hall, 2003).
- Hallegatte, S. and M. Ghil, "Natural Disasters Impacting a Macroeconomic Model with Endogenous Dynamics," *Ecological Economics*, 68 (2008), 582–92.
- Hellmuth, Molly E., Daniel E. Osgood, Ulrich Hess, Anne Moorhead, and Haresh Bhojwani, Index insurance and climate risk: Prospects for development and disaster management (International Research Institute for Climate and Society, 2009).
- Heming, Julian and Jim Goerss, Track and Structure Forecasts of Tropical Cyclones" (2010)."
- Hochrainer, S., "Assessing Macro-economic Impacts of Natural Disasters: Are There Any?," Manuscript, 2009.
- Holland, Paul W., "Statistics and Causal Inference," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 81 (1986), 945–960.
- Horton, Susan, "Child Nutrition and Family Size in the Philippines," Journal of Development Economics, 23 (1986), 161–176.
- Hsiang, Solomon M., "Temperatures and Cyclones strongly associated with economic production in the Caribbean and Central America," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107 (2010), 15367–15372.
- _ and Daiju Narita, "Adaptation to Cyclone Risk: Evidence from the Global Cross-Section," Climate Change Economics, 3 (2012).
- __, Kyle C. Meng, and Mark A. Cane, "Civil conflicts are associated with the global climate," Nature, 476 (2011), 438-441.
- IPCC, Climate Change 2007 The Physical Science Basis (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- ISDR, Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction (United Nations, 2009).
- Jacoby, Hanan G. and Emmanuel Skoufias, "Risk, Financial Markets, and Human Capital in a Developing Country," *Review of Economic Studies*, 64 (1997), 311–335.

- and __, "Testing Theories of Consumption Behavior Using Information on Aggregate Shocks: Income Seasonality and Rainfall in Rural India," American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 80 (1998), 1–14.
- Jensen, Robert, "Agricultural Volatility and Investment in Children," American Economic Review, 90 (2000), 399–404.
- Jensen, Robert T. and Miller Nolan H, "Giffen Behavior and Subsistence Consumption," American Economic Review, 98 (2008), 1553–1577.
- Kahn, Matthew E., "The Death Toll from Natural Disasters: The Role of Income, Geography, and Institutions," The Review of Economics and Statistics, 87 (2005), 271–284.
- Kalnay, E., M. Kanamitsu, R. Kistler, W. Collins, D. Deaven, L. Gandin, M. Iredell, S. Saha, G. White, J. Woollen, Y. Zhu, A. Leetmaa, B. Reynolds, M. Chelliah, W. Ebisuzaki, W. Higgins, J. Janowiak, K. C. Mo, C. Ropelewski, J. Wang, R. Jenne, and D. Joseph, "The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project," *Bulletin of the American Meterological Society*, 77 (1996), 437–471.
- Kazarosian, Mark, "Precautionary Savings A Panel Study," The Review of Economics and Statistics, 97 (1997), 241–247.
- Knapp, Kenneth R., "Archive Compiles New Resource for Global Tropical Cyclone Research," Eos, 90 (2009), 46–47.
- Knutson, Thomas R., John I. Mcbride, Johnny Chan, Kerry Emanuel, Greg Holland, Chris Landsea, Isaac Held, James P. Kossin, A. K. Srivastava, and Masato Sugi, "Tropical Cyclones and Climate Change," *Nature Geoscience*, 3 (2010), 157–163.
- Kochar, Anjini, "Explaining Household Vulnerability to Idiosyncratic Income Shocks," American Economic Review, 85 (1995), 159–164.
- ____, "Smoothing Consumption by Smoothing Income: Hours-of-Work Re- sponses to Idiosyncratic Agricultural Shocks in Rural India.," *Review fo Economics and Statistics*, 81 (1999), 50–61.
- Kudamatsu, Masayuki, "Has Democratization Reduced Infant Mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa? Evidence from Micro Data," *Journal of the European Economic Association*, forthcoming (2011).
- Kunreuther, Howard C., Erwann O. Michel-Kerjan, Neil A. Doherty, Martin F. Grace, Robert W. Clein, and Mark V. Pauly, *At War With the Weather* (MIT Press, 2009).
- Loayza, Norman, Eduardo Olaberria, Jamele Rigolini, and Luc Christiaensen, "Natural Disasters and Growth: Going beyond the Averages," Policy Research Working Paper 4980, The World Bank, 2009.

- Maccini, Sharon and Dean Yang, "Under the Weather: Health, Schooling, and Economic Consequences of Early-Life Rainfall," American Economic Review, 99 (2009), 1006– 1026.
- Masayuki, Torsten Persson Kudamatsu and David Strömberg, "Weather and Infant Mortality in Africa," in review, 2011.
- Mendelsohn, Robert, "Efficient Adaptation to Climate Change," *Climatic Change*, 45 (2000), 583–600.
- Miguel, Edward and Gerard Roland, "The Long Run Impact of Bombing Vietnam," Journal of Development Economics, 96 (2011), 1–15.
- ____, Shanker Satyanath, and Ernest Sergenti, "Economic Shocks and Civil Conflict: An Instrumental Variables Approach," *Journal of Political Economy*, 112 (2004), 725– 753.
- Mincer, Jacob, "Investment in human capital and personal income distribution," The Journal of Political Economy, 66 (1958), 281–302.
- Morduch, Jonathan, "Income Smoothing and Consumption Smoothing," The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9 (1995), 103–114.
- Mutter, J. C., "The Earth Sciences, Human Well-Being, and the Reduction of Global Poverty," *Eos*, 86 (2005).
- Narita, Daiju, R. S. J. Tol, and D. Anthoff, "Damage costs of climate change through intensification of tropical cyclone activities: an application of FUND," *Climate Re*search, 39 (2009), 87–97. *Natural Hazards, UnNatural Disasters*
- Natural Hazards, UnNatural Disasters, (The World Bank, 2010).
- Nordhaus, William D., "The Economics of Hurricanes in the United States," Annual Meetings of the American Economic Association, (2006).
- _, "Geography and macroeconomics: New Data and new findings," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103 (2006).
- _ , A Question of Balance: Weighing the Options on Global Warming Policies (Yale University Press, 2008).
- _, "The Economics of Hurricanes and Implications of Global Warming," Climate Change Economics, 1 (2010), 1–20.
- Noy, Ilan, "The macroeconomic consequences of disasters," Journal of Development Economics, 88 (2009), 221-231.

OFDA/CRED, The International Disaster Database " (2009)."

- Olken, Benjamin, "Monitoring Corruption: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Indonesia," Journal of Political Economy, 115 (2007), 200-249.
- Patt, Anthony G., Detlef P. van Vuuren, Frans Berkhout, Asbjorn Aaheim, Andries F. Hof, Morna Isaac, and Reinhard Mechler, "Adaptation in integrated assessment modeling: where do we stand?," Climatic Change, 99 (2010), 383-402.
- Paxson, Christina H., "Using Weather Variability To Estimate the Response of Savings to Transitory Income in Thailand," American Economic Review, 82 (1992), 15–33.
- Pielke, R. A. Jr., J. Rubiera, C. Landsea, M. Fernandez, and R. Klein, "Hurricane Vulnerability in Latin America and the Caribbean: Normalized Damage and Loss Potentials," Natural Hazards Rev., (2003).
- Pindyck, Robert S., The Economics of Climate Change: Adaptations Past and Present" (2011)."
- Qian, Nancy, "Missing Women and the Price of Tea in China: The Effect of Sex-Specific Income on Sex Imbalance," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 123 (2008), 1251– 1285.
- Robert, Kerry Emanuel Shona Chonabayashi Mendelsohn and Kaura Bakkensen, "The Impact of Climate Change on Global Tropical Cyclone Damage," Nature Climate Change, 2 (2012), 205209.
- Robinson, Jonathan and Ethan Yeh, "Transactional Sex as a Response to Risk in Western Kenya," American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 3 (2011), 35–64.
- Rose, Elaina, "Consumption Smoothing and Excess Female Mortality in Rural India," Review of Economics and Statistics, 81 (1999), 41-49.
- Rosenzweig, Mark R., "Risk, Private Information, and the Family," The American Economic Review, 78 (1988), 245–250.
- and Kenneth I. Wolpin, "Credit Market Constraints, Consumption Smoothing, and the Accumulation of Durable Production Assets in Low-Income Countries: Investments in Bullocks in India," Journal of Political Economy, 101 (1993), 223-244.
- and T. Paul Schultz, "Market Opportunities, Genetic Endowments, and Intrafamily Resource Distribution: Child Survival in Rural India," The American Economic Review, 72 (1982), 803–815.
- Sanders, Nicholas J. and Charles F. Stoecker, "Where Have All the Young Men Gone? Using Gender Ratios to Measure Fetal Death Rates," Working Paper 17434, NBER, 2011.

- Schlenker, Wolfram and Michael Roberts, "Nonlinear temperature effects indicate severe damages to U.S. crop yields under climate change," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106 (2009), 15594–15598.
- Sen, Amartya, "More than 100 million women are missing," The New York Review of Books, (1990), 61–66.
- Simeonova, Emilia, "Out of Sight, Out of Mind? The Impact of Natural Disasters on Pregnancy Outcomes," Working Paper 2814, CESIFO, 2011.
- Smith, Doug M., Rosie Eade, Nick J. Dunstone, David Fereday, James M. Murphy, Holger Pohlmann, and Adam A. Scaife, "Skilful multi-year predictions of Atlantic hurricane frequency," Nature Geoscience, 3 (2010), 846–849.
- Stern, Nicholas, Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
- Strauss, John and Duncan Thomas, "Health, Nutrition, and Economic Development," Journal of Economic Literature, 36 (1998), 766-817.
- Strobl, Eric, "The Economic Growth Impact of Hurricanes: Evidence from U.S. Coastal Counties," The Review of Economics and Statistics, 93 (2011), 575–589.
- Strömberg, David, "Natural Disasters, Economic Development, and Humanitarian Aid," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 21 (2007), 199–222.
- Subramanian, Shankar and Angus Deaton, "The Demand for Food and Calories," Journal of Political Economy, 104 (1996), 133–162.
- Tol, Richard S. J., "The Economic Effects of Climate Change," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 23 (2009), 29–51.
- Townsend, Robert M., "Consumption Insurance: An Evaluation of Risk-Bearing Systems in Low-Income Economies," The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9 (1995), 83– 102.
- Toya, Hideki and Mark Skidmore, "Economic development and the impacts of natural disasters," Economics Letters, 94 (2007), 20–25.
- Triep, Enrique G. and Lynn R. Sykes, "Frequency of occurrence of moderate to great earthquakes in intracontinental regions," Journal of Geophysical Research, 102 (1997), 9923–9948.
- Udry, Christopher, "Risk and Insurance in a Rural Credit Market: An Empirical Investigation in Northern Nigeria," Review of Economic Studies, 61 (1994), 495–526.
- Vigdor, Jacob, "The economic aftermath of Hurricane Katrina," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 22 (2008), 135–154.

- Weitzman, Martin L., "On modeling and interpreting the economics of catastrophic climate change," The Review of Economics and Statistics, 91 (2009), 1–19.
- Xie, P. and P. A. Arkin, "Analyses of Global Monthly Precipitation Using Gauge Observations, Satellite Estimates, and Numerical Model Predictions," Journal of Climate, 9 (1996), 840–858.
- Yang, Dean, "Coping with Disaster: The Impact of Hurricanes on International Financial Flows, 1970-2002," Advances in Economic Analysis & Policy, 8 (2008), 1903-1903.
- and Hwa Jung Choi, "Are Remittances Insurance? Evidence from Rainfall Shocks in the Philippines," World Bank Economic Review, 21 (2007), 219–248.
- Zivin, Joshua Graff and Matthew J. Neidell, "Temperature and the allocation of time: Implications for climate change," Manuscript 15717, NBER, 2010.















Figure IV Timeline of FIES data collection overlaid with a histogram of typhoon events by month.



Figure V

Country-level losses to typhoons for the Philippines. Reported damages and deaths from the EM-DAT dataset are shown as time series from 1950-2008. Scatter plots show log losses as a function of wind speed averaged nationally. Fit is OLS with confidence interval.







Figure VII

Similarities in the structure of typhoon losses across categories. Dynamic (left column) estimates of typhoon impacts show responses for 5 years starting in the calendar year of exposure (year 0). Nonlinear (right column) estimates show coefficients on indicator variables for previous year's (Lag=1) maximum wind speed. Thin lines are 90% CI.



Figure VIII

The effect of of typhoons on the shape of income, expenditure, and wealth distributions. Left column shows the effect of the previous year's typhoon exposure at different q-quantile cutoffs (A, C, E) and inferred socioeconomic status (G). Right column shows the cumulative impact over six years. Whiskers are $2-\sigma$ for each point-estimate. Thick horizontal lines in A, C, E, and G are the main effect from the baseline model, shaded regions are the 90% CI. Curves in B, D, F, and H are simple OLS fits to the estimated coefficients. Each coefficient is estimated in a separate province-by-year panel containing province fixed effects, year fixed effects, controls for temperature and rainfall and a lagged dependent variable.



Figure IX

infant deaths (dotted black line) per million households. Observation is at the regional aggregate level. Cumulative effect Cumulative impact of typhoons on number of infant female deaths (grey line) and number of female births resulting in normalized such that month prior to typhoon impact is zero. Includes region, year, and month fixed effects, lagged temperature and precipitation controls, and lagged dependent variable.



Figure X

Cross-sectional correlation of region-specific coefficients. Regions that suffer relatively larger income losses (x-axis) also suffer larger reductions in food expenditure (top) and larger increases in infant mortality (bottom), holding the intensity of typhoon exposure fixed.





(x-axis) generally suffer smaller losses to income (top left) and infant life (bottom left) when the intensity of typhoon exposure is held fixed. Multiplying these linearly declining marginal effects against climatological exposure results in



Average effects in an average year

Figure XII

Comparison of average annual economic and human losses to typhoons in the Philippines. "Year of typhoon" estimates show immediate economic damage normalized by contemporaneous GDP and total mortality as reported by EM-DAT. "Year after" estimates show average household income loss and total infant mortality in the calendar year following a typhoon event. Economic and human losses the year after a typhoon exceed the immediate losses by factors of 16.9 and 15.1 (resp.). Whiskers denote 95% confidence intervals.

Unit of observation	Years	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Province	1950 - 2008	4838	17.6	12.0	0.0	62.1
Province	1979 - 2008	2460	16.9	11.6	0.0	53.5
Region	1950 - 2008	885	16.1	11.5	0.0	47.4
Region	1979 - 2008	450	15.3	11.0	0.0	45.9
Nation	1950 - 2008	59	17.3	4.6	9.2	30.5
Nation	1979 - 2008	30	16.5	4.0	9.2	23.6

Table ITyphoon exposure (maximum wind speed) summary statistics.

Notes: Maximum wind speed measured in meters per second.
VARIABLES	
Total number of household members	5.2
	[2.27]
Number of household members above age 15	4.1
	[1.78]
Age of household head (yr.)	47.6
	[14.16]
Household head is male $(\%)$	85.2
	[35.5]
Household head completed no school (%)	5. <i>(</i>
II	[23.19]
Household head completed primary school $(\%)$	04.0 [49.01]
Household head completed secondary school (0^7)	[48.01]
Household head completed secondary school (7_0)	აა.0 [47 ე]
Total household:	[41.2]
Income (PHP)	127500
	[157600]
Expenditures (PHP)	103700
	[106000]
Food expenditures (PHP)	50500
	[33100]
Education expenditures (PHP)	4000
	[11900]
Medical expenditures (PHP)	2200
	[11300]
Observations:	142789
Household has:	
Electricity (%)	62.6
	[48.4]
Closed toilet $(\%)$	72.2
	[44.8]
Strong walls $(\%)$	54.5
	[49.8]
Television $(\%)$	39.1
	[48.8]
$\operatorname{Car}(\%)$	6.7
	[24.9]
Observations:	107620

	Tab	ole]	I	
Summary	averages	for	FIES	households

Notes: Standard errors shown in parentheses. Income and expenditures shown in year 2000-equivalent Philippine Pesos.

Variable	
Age	28.65
	[10.08]
Married $(\%)$	48.32
	[49.97]
Wife has no education $(\%)$	3.2
	[17.6]
Wife has post-secondary education $(\%)$	28
	[44.9]
Husband has no education (%)	4.17
	[20]
Husband has post-secondary education $(\%)$	22.5
Wife's total shildren harn	[41.7]
whe's total children born	2.0 [2.57]
Wife's total sons born	$\begin{bmatrix} 2.07 \end{bmatrix}$ 1.02
Whe s total sons born	[1.02]
Wife's total daughters born	0.95
Whe b total daughters bern	[1.42]
	[+· + -]
Observations	24841

 Table III

 Summary averages for DHS households

Notes: Standard errors shown in parentheses. Non-migrant households only.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)
	Total	Single family		<u>Househ</u>	old head chara	<u>acteristics</u> Only	Onlv
	family	dwelling	Married	Male		primary	secondary
VARIABLES	size	(%)	(%)	(%)	Uneducated	school	school
Max windspeed $5-10 \text{ m/s}$	0.0594	-0.81	0.30	-0.30	1.02	-3.06**	-0.45
	[0.0603]	[1.27]	[0.53]	[0.42]	[0.81]	[1.27]	[70.0]
10-15 m/s	0.1480^{*}	-1.12	0.68	-0.17	0.67	-2.69^{*}	-0.24
	[0.0781]	[1.60]	[0.66]	[0.65]	[0.85]	[1.55]	[1.27]
15-20 m/s	0.1205	-0.68	0.50	0.25	0.83	-3.00*	-1.04
	[0.0864]	[1.77]	[0.65]	[0.63]	[0.86]	[1.64]	[1.39]
20-25 m/s	0.1968^{*}	-0.91	0.70	0.76	0.96	-3.26	0.40
	[0.1000]	[1.84]	[0.77]	[0.78]	[1.02]	[2.05]	[1.68]
25-30 m/s	0.0748	-0.15	0.28	0.85	0.24	-1.41	2.64
	[0.1099]	[1.87]	[0.81]	[0.81]	[1.06]	[2.20]	[1.88]
30-35 m/s	0.0808	0.81	0.44	0.53	0.97	-2.14	2.59
	[0.1139]	[2.03]	[1.05]	[1.04]	[1.11]	[2.09]	[1.82]
35+ m/s	0.1046	-0.49	0.67	1.55^{*}	0.73	-1.21	3.13
	[0.1171]	[2.09]	[0.98]	[0.90]	[1.16]	[2.29]	[1.94]
Observations	142,789	142,789	142,789	142,789	142,789	142,789	142,789
R-squared	0.0145	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.14	0.24
Notes: Standard errors clustered at tl not shown. Includes province and year	he treatment (r fixed effects :	province) leve and lagged ten	l in brackets. * 1perature and J	** p<0.01, * precipitation	** p<0.05, * p<0.1. controls.	Lags 0 and 2	-5 estimated but

Table IV Checking for balance on typhoon exposure in FIES data

		Country 1	evel typhoc	n damages.				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
	Damages	Damages	Damages / GDP	Damages / GDP	Killed	Killed	Killed / pop.	Killed / pop.
Maximum wind speed (m/s)	$(\log) 20.62^{***}$	$(log) 23.65^{***}$	$(log) 26.34^{***}$	$(\log) 22.23^{***}$	$(log) 16.63^{***}$	$(log) 22.39^{***}$	$(log) 20.62^{***}$	$(\log) \\ 22.00^{***}$
	[4.24]	[5.05]	[4.89]	[5.75]	[3.54]	[3.65]	[3.53]	[3.84]
Observations	44	44	37	37	53	53	48	48
R-squared	0.31	0.34	0.41	0.48	0.21	0.35	0.30	0.34
Linear and quad trends	ı	Υ	I	Υ	I	Υ	I	Υ
Notes: Typhoon treatment at national lev	el. White stands	ard errors in bra	ackets. *** p<0	.01, ** p<0.05,	* p<0.1.			

Table V	Jountry level typhoon dam
	ő

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
		Has			
	Has	closed	Strong	Has	Has
	electricity	toilet	walls	TV	car
VARIABLES	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Max wind speed, $T=0$	-0.21*	-0.04	-0.08	-0.17^{*}	-0.03
	[0.11]	[0.10]	[0.10]	[0.09]	[0.03]
T + 1	-0.14**	-0.16***	-0.11**	-0.12^{**}	0.01
	[0.06]	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.05]	[0.02]
T + 2	0.01	-0.09	-0.09	0.11^{*}	-0.03
	[0.07]	[0.06]	[0.08]	[0.06]	[0.05]
T + 3	0.04	-0.12	0.12	-0.10*	-0.01
	[0.06]	[0.09]	[0.10]	[0.05]	[0.03]
T + 4	-0.07	-0.11	0.01	-0.15***	0.01
	[0.04]	[0.06]	[0.05]	[0.03]	[0.02]
Observations	$107,\!620$	$107,\!620$	107,620	$107,\!620$	107,620
R-squared	0.28	0.21	0.21	0.34	0.07

Table VI Typhoon impact on assets

Notes: Notes: Standard errors clustered at the treatment (province) level in brackets. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Lags 0-5 estimated but only shown for 0-4. Includes province and year fixed effects, lagged temperature and precipitation controls, and household controls consisting of the number of members, working and non, in household as well as household head's gender and education level.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)
VARIABLES	Income (%)	Income (%)	Income (%)	Income (%)	Income (%)	Income (%)
Max wind speed, T=0 (m/s)	0.26	-0.10	0.02	-0.00	0.27	-0.01
T + 1	[0.26]-0.88***	[0.14]-0.33***	[0.15]-0.35***	[0.18] -0.39***	[0.16] -0.58***	[0.15] -0.39***
l -	[0.19]	[0.09]	[0.09]	[0.10]	[0.14]	[0.14]
T + 2	1.04^{***}	0.01	0.00	-0.16	-0.17	0.02
日 日 2	[0.32]0.16	[0.10]	[0.08]	[0.12]	[0.14]	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.14 \\ 0.20 \end{bmatrix}$
0 - 1	[0.17]	[0.14]	[0.13]	[0.15]	[0.15]	[0.18]
T + 4	-0.58^{**}	-0.08	-0.09	-0.06	-0.03	-0.05
	[0.25]	[0.09]	[0.11]	[0.10]	[0.09]	[0.11]
Observations	142,789	142,789	142,779	142,779	174,896	367
R-squared	0.32	0.38	0.57	0.57	0.55	0.95
Exposure:	province	province	province	province	region	province
Province FE	1	Y	Y	Y) 1	Y
Region FE	ı	ı	ı	I	Υ	ı
HH controls	ı	ı	Υ	Υ	Υ	ı
Lagged temp, precip	ı	ı	ı	Υ	Υ	Υ
Lagged dependent var.	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	Υ
SE clustered at region	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	ı
Years 1985 to	2000	2000	2000	2000	2006	2000
Conley (spatial) SE	I	I	I	I	ı	Υ

.5 -Table VII ب hold :

Dependent variable	Max wind	speed, T	1 + 1 (m/s)
	% change	SE	Obs.
Agricultural wages	0.04	[0.27]	30,773
Non-Agricultural wages	-0.19	[0.15]	77,754
Entrepreneurial income	-0.28**	[0.11]	96,989
Subcategories of entrepreneurial in	come		
Crop farming / gardening income	-0.29	[0.21]	$52,\!193$
Trade income	-0.18	[0.18]	30,479
Livestock / poultry income	-0.46	[0.44]	$17,\!158$
Gambling winnings	0.28	[0.46]	10,776
Fishing income	-0.40	[0.24]	10,258
Manufact. income	0.08	[0.42]	8,715
Transport / storage income	0.15	[0.26]	7,855
Services income	-0.10	[0.45]	7,011
Forestry / hunting income	-0.44	[0.71]	2,537
N.A. / entrep. income	-1.04	[0.96]	1,454
Construct. income	-1.56	[1.24]	870

Table VIII Typhoon impact on income sources

Notes: Percent change calculated as log points *100 per m/s of max wind speed. Standard errors clustered at the treatment (province) level in brackets. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1. Lags 0-5 estimated but only shown for 0-4. Includes province and year fixed effects, lagged temperature and precipitation controls, and household controls consisting of the number of members, working and non, in household as well as household head's gender and education level.

	•	-	•			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)
Stratification by:	Household	<u>location</u>	Household	<u>l head sex</u>	HHH/mothe	r's $education \dagger$
	Nonurban	Urban	Female	Male	< Primary	≥Primary
Dependent variable	Inc	lependent	variable: N	fax wind s _l	peed, $T + 1$ (r	n/s)
Income ($\%$ change)	-0.30**	-0.27**	-0.31^{**}	-0.41***	-0.35***	-0.46**
	[0.10]	[0.10]	[0.12]	[0.11]	[0.11]	[0.11]
Observations	71,157	71,622	21,101	121,678	51,438	91, 341
Expenditures (% change)	-0.30***	-0.35***	-0.34***	-0.44***	-0.44***	-0.47***
	[0.07]	[0.12]	[0.11]	[0.09]	[0.11]	[0.10]
Observations	71,157	71,622	21,101	121,678	51,438	91, 341
Female infant mortality	60.73	97.91^{**}	55.14	74.40^{**}	52.59	85.62^{***}
(per million HH)	[38.30]	[33.71]	[41.51]	[24.85]	[44.77]	[20.00]
Observations	111,518	82,519	36,845	228,101	92,493	172,937
Notes: Percent changes to income and households per year. Standard errors only shown for lag 1. Income and exp household controls consisting of the m 3-4) and education level (except mode controls, and mother's age and age squ at the time of the interview had comp completed primary school.	expenditures cal- clustered at the enditures models imber of members ls 5-6). Mortality lared. †In income eleted primary sch	culated as log include provi s, working and models incluc and expenditu ool. In morta	points *100 pe t brackets. *** nce and year fix non, in housel te mother and tree models, the lity models, the	r m/s of max w p < 0.01 , ** p $<$ ed effects, tem] nold as well as 1 vear fixed effect sample is basec sample is stra	rind speed. Mortalii (0.05, * p<0.1. Lag perature and precip household head's ge s, lagged temperatu l on whether the ho tified based on whe	y shown per million gs 0-5 estimated but itation controls, and nder (except models rre and precipitation usehold head (HHH) ther the mother had

Table IX Typhoon impacts by subpopulation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
VARIABLES	Total Exp. (%)	Food $(\%)$	Fuel $(%)$	Personal Care (%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Clothing} \\ (\%) \end{array}$	Travel / Comm. (%)	Medical (%)	Education (%)	Special Events (%)	Recreation (%)	Repair (%)
Max wind speed, T=0 (m/s)	-0.03	-0.22^{**}	0.14	-0.01	-0.18		-0.20	0.20	-0.15	-0.54* [0.31]	-0.11 [0 of]
T + 1	-0.42*** -0.42***	$[0.035^{**}]$	[0.14] -0.21* [0.19]	-0.74^{***}	[0.22] -0.32*	-0.84^{***}	-0.85^{***}	[0.79*** -0.79***	-0.57*** [0.10]	[16.0] [66.0]	[0.09] 0.09 29]
T + 2	-0.14 -0.14	[0.03] -0.23	0.08 0.08 0.12]	0.11 0.11 0.11	-0.18 -0.18 [0.00]	-0.31 -0.31	-0.31 -0.31	-0.26 -0.26 -0.36	-0.34 [0.34]	[62.0] **97.0 [76.0]	[oc.0] 0.78* [at 0]
T + 3	-0.01 -0.01	-0.12 -0.12	0.19 0.19 0.15	0.13 [0.18]	[0.20] [0.20]	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.24\\ 0.17 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.29\\ 0.10 \end{bmatrix}$	-0.24 -0.24 -0.24	[0.24] -0.04	0.20 0.20 66 0	-0.30 -0.30
T + 4	[11.0]	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.03\\ 0.07 \end{bmatrix}$	[0.11]	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.10 \\ -0.24^{*} \\ [0.13] \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.23\\ 0.13\\ 0.15 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.20\\ 0.02\\ 0.16\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.27 \\ -0.10 \\ 0.16 \end{bmatrix}$	[0.20] -0.20 [0.15]	$[0.20] -0.34^{**}$ [0.15]	[0.26] -0.36 [0.26]	-0.46 -0.46 [0.40]
Observations R-squared	$\begin{array}{c} 142,779\\ 0.61 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 142,779\\ 0.66 \end{array}$	$142,757 \\ 0.54$	$140,384 \\ 0.53$	$136,030\ 0.29$	$135,077 \\ 0.37$	$127,364 \\ 0.17$	100,268 0.30	$88,440 \\ 0.28$	$60,893 \\ 0.24$	$34,923 \\ 0.21$
Notes: Percent change calculated as p<0.1. Lags 0-5 estimated but only number of members, working and no	log points *1 shown for 0- m, in househo	00 per m/s c 4. Includes p ld as well as j	of max wind rovince and household he	speed. Stan year fixed ef ad's gender	dard errors cl fects, lagged and educatior	ustered at th temperature 1 level.	e treatment and precipita	(province) level tion controls, a	l in brackets. and household	*** p<0.01, ** l controls consis	p<0.05, * ting of the

Table X Typhoon impact on major expenditure categories

81

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
VARIABLES	Meat (%)	$\operatorname{Fish}(\%)$	Dairy (%)	Fruit (%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cereal} \\ (\%) \end{array}$	Nonalcoholic Beverages $(\%)$	Alcoholic Beverages (%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Tobacco} \\ (\%) \end{array}$
Max wind speed, T=0 (m/s)	-0.73^{***}	-0.49^{**}	-0.38^{**}	-0.03	-0.07	-0.47^{**}	-0.64^{**}	-0.35
T + 1	$[0.22] -0.74^{***}$	[0.19] -0.14	$[0.18] -0.75^{***}$	[0.20] -0.83***	[0.07] -0.22***	$[0.19] -0.45^{**}$	[0.29] -0.17	[0.29] 0.32
T + ο	[0.22]-0.55**	[0.13]	[0.19] -0.18	[0.15]	[0.06] -0.08	[0.18]	[0.28] -0.21	[0.23] -0.03
a 	[0.23]	[0.20]	[0.22]	[0.16]	[0.09]	[0.25]	[0.33]	[0.28]
T + 3	-0.52**	-0.21	-0.18	0.03	-0.01	-0.62^{**}	-0.38	-0.25
	[0.23]	[0.20]	[0.25]	[0.20]	[0.0]	[0.27]	[0.33]	[0.30]
T + 4	-0.01	0.12	-0.08	-0.02	-0.05	0.28^{*}	0.40^{*}	0.34
	[0.17]	[0.12]	[0.13]	[0.12]	[0.05]	[0.16]	[0.21]	[0.21]
Observations	137,495	142,361	133,913	142, 421	142,684	115,746	79,381	89,148
R-squared	0.46	0.41	0.36	0.50	0.69	0.31	0.11	0.16
Notes: Percent change calculated as brackets. *** $p<0.01$, ** $p<0.05$, * t and precipitation controls, and house gender and education level.	i log points * ><0.1. Lags 0 shold controls	100 per m/s -5 estimated consisting o	of max wind but only sho f the number	l speed. Sta wun for 0-4.] of members	ndard errors Includes provi , working and	clustered at the th nce and year fixed non, in household	reatment (prov l effects, lagged l as well as hou	ince) level in temperature sehold head's

Table XI Typhoon impact on food expenditures

Γ_{y_1}

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)
VARIABLES	Any age	Male	Female	Under 5 y.o. female	Infant female	Infant male
Max wind speed, T=0 (m/s)	-43.16	-8.765	-36.82	-24.96	21.16	-5.697
T + 1	$[30.16]$ 79.68^{*}	$\begin{bmatrix} 19.39 \\ 26.11 \end{bmatrix}$	$[32.92]$ 58.82^{**}	$[25.76]$ 54.46^{**}	[23.29] 73.37^{***}	$\begin{bmatrix} 16.44 \\ 1.717 \end{bmatrix}$
T + 2	[36.61]-32.82	[29.76]-21.35	[26.03] -5.694	[22.50] -4.821	[20.71] 28.54	[16.72] -21.54
T + 3	[39.64] -5.614	[34.04]-0.695	[22.42]-4.957	[22.27] 12.47	$[17.79]$ 37.99^{**}	[22.19]-20.31
T + 4	$[32.21] \\ 63.93$	[21.82] 43.47	[24.56] 26.07	[15.39] 30.36	[15.89] 41.84	$\begin{bmatrix} 17.46 \\ 23.61 \end{bmatrix}$
	[52.90]	[35.96]	[29.56]	[26.65]	[25.27]	[22.94]
Observations R-squared	$265,430 \\ 0.106$	265,430 0.090	265,430 0.086	265,430 0.083	265,430 0.082	$265,430 \\ 0.084$
Notes: Mortality shown per million househ *** $p<0.01$, ** $p<0.05$, * $p<0.1$. Lags 0-i temperature and precipitation controls, an	iolds per year. 5 estimated bu d mother's age	Standard err t only shown and age squa	ors clustered z for 0-4. Inclu red.	tt the treatme ides mother a	nt (region) lev nd year fixed e	el in brackets. effects, lagged

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
VARIABLES	Poorest decile	Below med.	Above med.	Top decile
Max wind speed, $T=0$ (/s)	98.33	9.214	36.08	87.85
$T \perp 1$	[62.12] 146.1*	[32.81] 82.15**	[25.34] 63 44**	[58.01] 62 74
T + 2	[70.92]	[31.36]	[23.42]	[56.10]
1 + 2	[73.40]	51.55 [35.88]	[20.53]	[47.83]
T + 3	164.8^{*} [91.13]	54.30^{**} [23.82]	21.39 [16.06]	27.98 [34.26]
T + 4	173.4^{**} [74.24]	$58.67 \\ [34.35]$	20.27 [22.20]	$13.61 \\ [45.81]$
Observations	26,637	142,216	123,214	20,587
R-squared	0.084	0.086	0.071	0.072

Table XIII Typhoon impact on child mortality by SES group

Notes: Mortality shown per million households per year. Standard errors clustered at the treatment (region) level in brackets. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Lags 0-5 estimated but only shown for 0-4. Includes mother and year fixed effects, lagged temperature and precipitation controls, and mother's age and age squared.

VARIABLES	Max wind speed, T=1 $[m/s]$
First born	35.32
	[23.48]
Marginal impact of having older siblings	75.70**
	[25.35]
Only older sisters	75.80
	[78.97]
Marginal impact of having older brothers	53.18
	[67.54]
Only older brothers	121.2*
	[57.10]
Marginal impact of having older sisters	0.405
	[40.07]

Table XIV Typhoon impact on infant female mortality by sibling gender

Notes: Mortality shown per million households per year. Standard errors clustered at the treatment (region) level in brackets. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Lags 0-5 estimated but only shown for 0-4. Includes mother and year fixed effects, lagged temperature and precipitation controls, and mother's age and age squared.

 Table XV

 Summary of household losses due to previous year's mean typhoon exposure

Outcome	Average annual loss
Household income	-6.6%
Household own on ditunes	7 107
Food expenditure (all)	-7.1%
Most superditure (all)	$-5.9/_{0}$
Filmentian en diture	-12.0%
Education expenditure	-13.3%
Medical expenditure	-14.3%
Female infant mortality per 1,000 births	+18.1 deaths
National total female infant deaths (based on 2007 census)	+11,261 deaths
Fraction of infant mortality for non-migrant females (sample)	55.0%
Fraction of national infant mortality rate (male & female)	13.0%

Notes: Losses are calculated using mean typhoon exposure between 1979 and 2008 as shown in Table I for the province level (region level for infant mortality) using coefficient on T + 1 from estimates in Tables VII (col. 4),X (cols. 1, 2, 7, and 8) and XII (col 5). Losses for income and expenditures are log points times 100.